

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY JUDGE PRESIDENT

(HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA, GAUTENG DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG)
OFFICE 1210

Telephone number: 011 335 0116/011 335 0162 / 011 335 0163

Fax number: (011) 335 0219

e-mail address: Secretarydjp@judiciary.org.za / LTulleken@judiciary.org.za

NOTICE

TO:

- 1. Judges of the Gauteng Division, Johannesburg
- 2. Chief Registrar, Gauteng Division, Johannesburg
- 3. Legal Practice Council Gauteng
- 4. Law Society of South Africa
- 5. Gauteng Family Law Forum
- 6. Gauteng Attorneys Association
- 7. Pretoria Attorneys Association
- 8. Johannesburg Attorneys Association
- 9. West Rand Attorneys Association
- 10. South African Black Women in Law
- 11. National Association of Democratic Lawyers
- 12. Black Lawyers Association
- 13. South African Women Lawyers Association
- 14. South African Medical Malpractice Lawyers Association
- 15. Personal Injury Plaintiff Lawyers Association
- 16. South African Medico-Legal Association
- 17. Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions, Pretoria and Johannesburg
- 18. Office of the State Attorneys, Pretoria and Johannesburg
- 19. Solicitor General
- 20. Office of the Family Advocate, Pretoria and Johannesburg
- 21. Legal Aid South Africa
- 22. Johannesburg Society of Advocates
- 23. Pretoria Society of Advocates
- 24. Gauteng Society of Advocates
- 25. Pan African Bar Association of South Africa
- 26. General Council of the Bar of South Africa
- 27. National Bar Council of South Africa

- 28. South African Bar Association
- 29. National Forum of Advocates
- 30. North Gauteng Association of Advocates
- 31. Church Square Association of Advocates
- 32. Advocates for Transformation
- 33. Legal Division of the Department of Health: Gauteng
- 34. Legal Division of the Department of Sport, Arts, Culture and Recreation
- 35. Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development
- 36. Legal Services Gauteng Provincial Department of Education
- 37. Gauteng Society of Advocates

DATE : 19 January 2023

RE : CLARIFICATION NOTE ABOUT JUDGMENTS AND

ORDERS IN DAMAGES CLAIMS AGAINST ANY ORGAN OF STATE IN THE HIGH COURT IN

JOHANNESBURG

- It has become apparent that some uncertainty exists about the enrolment of a
 case by a plaintiff who seeks an order of damages from an organ of state This
 clarification notice serves to resolve uncertainty.
- 2. In any case against an organ of state where a **settlement agreement** has been concluded the case must be enrolled in the **Settlements Court.**
 - a. This court's remit was expanded in terms of the revision of Directive 1 of 2021, dated 1 December 2022 to include all organs of state.
 - b. Chapter 9 of Directive 1 of 2021 (as amended) prescribes the steps that must be taken to present to the Settlements Court a rational foundation for the settlement reached.
 - c. The settlement Court in Johannesburg can be accessed on a three –week turnaround.

- 3. In any case against an organ of state where **default judgment** is sought the case must be enrolled on the **General Civil Trial Roll**.
 - a. Chapter 7 of the Directive 1 of 2021 (as amended by paras 4, 5, 6 and 7 of the revision of 1 December 2022) sets out the declaration that the plaintiff must make to the registrar to obtain a set down date. Regrettably, the lead times for enrolment of default judgments in the trial court as at the time of writing are unacceptably long and practical methods to reduce the time are being explored.
 - b. The trial judge must be presented with the relevant evidence to justify the claim and the quantum of damages sought. Where it is appropriate to do so, evidence may be adduced on affidavit.
 - c. Such a case must not be enrolled in the Unopposed Motion Court which is not able to conduct the appropriate interrogation of the order sought. Where such a matter is enrolled on the Unopposed Motion Court it shall be removed and no costs shall be allowed.
- 4. Typically, the organs of state that are frequent litigants are the Road Accident Fund, PRASA, the MEC for Health, Gauteng, and the Minster of Police. Other organs of state occasionally are subject to damages claims too.
- 5. It is appropriate to remind practitioners of the rationale for these procedures. In all the cases public money is being spent. It is incumbent on the courts not to be a rubber stamp for either settlements or default judgments which are not rationally premised. Regrettably, experience has shown that there are frequent

settlements reached which are irrational. Similarly, where an organ of state is remiss in engaging with a plaintiff and a default judgment *per se* is justified, it remains appropriate that a court making an order of court by default does not inadvertently endorse an opportunistic overreaching at the public expense.

6. Compliance with this procedure shall obviate disappointments and delays.

Yours faithfully

Dictated by the Deputy Judge President Electronically transmitted, therefore no signature

ROLAND SUTHERLAND DEPUTY JUDGE PRESIDENT