

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, GQEBERHA)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
22 February 2023

Trial date: 06 March 2023

1. 3679/10 A Barnard vs S Delpoort & van der Merwe Inc.
Greyvensteins
Lizette Pretorius

2. 145/17 Adv. N. Barnard N.O. obo W Groener vs RAF
John B. Scott Attorneys
Road Accident Fund

3. 2192/17 J. Ndlovu vs RAF
Rayno Peo Attorneys
Road Accident Fund

4. 3296/18 L E Mossie +1 vs Minister of Police
Morné Struwig Att
G P Rhyn Minnaar
State Attorney

5. 716/20 A R Mopp vs RAF **McWilliams & Elliott Inc.
Road Accident Fund**

Trial Date : 07 March 2023

6. 3723/18 S Mkhosana obo A Daniels +1 vs The MEC, Dept. of Education, EC **Lessing, Heyns and van der Bank Inc.
State Attorney**

7. 1910/19 Y Ngcayi vs RAF **Rayno Peo Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

8. 2636/19 T S Lupondo vs RAF **John B. Scott Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

9. 2451/20 Absa Bank Limited vs UF Rezant N.O. **McWilliams & Elliott Inc.
Mente Faltein**

10. 2089/21 S Gurha vs RAF ***Labuschagne van der Walt Inc.
State Attorney***

Trial Date: 08 March 2023

11. 347/20 T A Kieviets vs RAF ***John B. Scott Attorneys
State Attorney***

12. 1683/20 C Van Rooyen vs RAF ***Jaco Hattingh Attorneys
State Attorney***

13. 2623/20 T M Jacobs vs L G Jacobs +1 ***Chris Harding Attorneys
Legal-Aid Attorneys***

14. 2315/21 C L Maart vs Minister of Police +1 ***Lessing, Heyns and van der Bank Inc.
State Attorney***

15. 934/22 M S Dlova vs RAF **Goldberg & De Villiers Inc.
State Attorney**

Trial Date: 09 March 2023

16. 3546/14 N C Kilani vs RAF **John B. Scott Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

17. 3093/17 Journey Vehicle & Asset Finance CC vs Pro Khaya
Construction CC +2 **Greyvensteins**

18. 180/18 M D Ndindwa vs RAF **Rayno Peo Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

19. 157/22 L Bungu vs RAF **McWilliams & Elliott Inc.
State Attorney**

20. 1451/22 L Dotwana vs X L Mtulu-Dotwana **Lexicon Attorneys
Joyzel Obbes Inc.**

Trial Date: 10 March 2023

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|---------------------|--|
| 21. | 606/20 | C V Solomons vs RAF | <i>John B. Scott Attorneys
Road Accident Fund</i> |
| 22. | 1098/20 | H Sabani vs RAF | <i>Jaco Hattingh Attorneys
State Attorney</i> |
| 23. | 219/21 | A Makohuso vs RAF | <i>Rayno Peo Attorneys
State Attorney</i> |
| 24. | 821/22 | E Michaels vs RAF | <i>PBK Attorneys
State Attorney</i> |
| 25. | 1163/22 | E J Sampson vs RAF | <i>Gregory Clark & Assoc
State Attorney</i> |

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;

1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.