



188, 14th Road, Noordwyk, Midrand, 1685
Private Bag X10, Marshalltown, 2107
Tel: +27 10 493 2500 (Switchboard) | E-mail: info@judiciary.org.za
www.judiciary.org.za

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
26 November 2020

Trial date: 30 November 2020

- | | | | |
|---|---------|--|---|
| 1 | 3938/18 | HD Aggenbach vs RAF | Jock Walter Inc.
The Road Accident Fund |
| 2 | 2662/17 | Waco Africa (Pty) Ltd vs Wattec (Pty) Ltd +1 | Jacques Du Preez
Annali Erasmus |
| 3 | 1755/17 | P January vs RAF | John B. Scott Att
The Road Accident fund |
| 4 | 1339/16 | G L Van Eck vs L A Van Eck | Greyvensteins
Howard Collen |
| 5 | 3148/18 | N T Gandela vs The MEC, Dept of Health, EC | Stromberg Pieterse
State Attorney |



Trial Date: 01 December 2020

6	4206/16	R Nasson vs The MEC, EC, Medical Superintendent, Uitenhage Hospital	W. Langson & Ass State Attorney
7	144/17	M Freeks obo M Freeks vs RAF	John B. Scott Attorneys The Road Accident Fund
8	1469/15	L Eksteen+2 vs Times Media EC +2	O'Brien Inc. Schoeman Oosthuizen
9	1188/15	M Korkee vs Minister of Correctional Services	O'Brien Inc. State Attorney
10	68/20	Tradewith 12 (Pty) Ltd t/a Atlas Security vs S. Moore	Friedman Scheckter Kaplan Blumberg
11	815/19	H Seymour vs R B Seymour	Gregory Clark & Ass TN and Associates
12	4012/18	L B Pati obo C. Pati vs RAF	Gregory Clark & Ass The Road Accident Fund

Trial Date: 02 December 2020

13	3387/18	Z E Xhontana vs RAF	Rayno Peo Attorneys The Road Accident Fund
----	---------	---------------------	---





188, 14th Road, Noordwyk, Midrand, 1685

Private Bag X10, Marshalltown, 2107

Tel: +27 10 493 2500 (Switchboard) | E-mail: info@judiciary.org.za

www.judiciary.org.za

14	1405/15	T Ntamo vs RAF	John B. Scott Attorneys The Road Accident Fund
15	1830/16	D R Farren vs G M De Kooker +2	Greyvensteins BDLS
16	366/20	Merchant West (Pty) Ltd vs F&J Administrators Trust NO +7	Ruchmere Noach Wouter Minnie
17	637/20	M Bull vs Humeat CC	BLC Attorneys Goldberg & De Villiers
18	803/18	C R Bruyns vs H T Bruyns	O'Brien Inc. Strauss Daly Attorneys

Trial date: 03 December 2020

19	175/17	C A Mcallister vs H Mcallister	Fred Stemmett Inc. McWilliams & Elliott
20	2700/16	A Ketchem vs Minister of Police	Morne Struwig Inc. State Attorneys
21	323/17	L Fumbata vs RAF	John B. Scott Att The Road Accident Fund



- | | | | |
|----|---------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 22 | 2984/19 | Ambition Accounting Advisory Services (Pty) Ltd vs Gordon Turner | Schoeman Oosthuizen
Van Zyl Inc. |
| 23 | 3015/16 | T Gwentshu vs Minister of Police | O'Brien Inc.
State Attorneys |

Trial Date: 04 December 2020

- | | | | |
|----|---------|---|---|
| 24 | 2342/16 | L G H Jasson vs Coltmans Attorneys & Notaries | Peter McKenzie Inc.
JGS |
| 25 | 1212/15 | T Mbambani vs RAF | John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund |
| 26 | 1586/16 | A J Faleni vs Minister of Police | O'Brien Inc.
State Attorney |
| 27 | 1993/17 | Oricol Enviromental Services (Pty) Ltd vs Agni Steel SA (Pty) Ltd | Greyvensteins
Goldberg & De Villiers |

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:





188, 14th Road, Noordwyk, Midrand, 1685

Private Bag X10, Marshalltown, 2107

Tel: +27 10 493 2500 (Switchboard) | **E-mail:** info@judiciary.org.za

www.judiciary.org.za

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of



Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –
 - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
 - 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
 - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or
 - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.

