

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
4 February 2021

Trial date: 08 February 2021

1. 1916/18 Eldo J van Rheynders vs Minister of Police +1
LHK van der Bank
State Attorney

2. 430/18 Este Mari vanNiekerk vs De Villiers van Niekerk
Schoeman Oosthuizen
Greyvensteins

3. 1922/18 Sherilee Morris vs Dr Nico van Niekerk
Roelofse Meyer Inc
BLC

4. 2412/14 Fundiswa Joyce Mfanta vs RAF
John B Scott Att
Road Accident Fund

5. 804/20 Loretta Olive Vosloo vs Moresport (Pty) Ltd
Friedman Scheckter
JGS

6. 3181/19 Wazani Makasi vs RAF
Vic Skelton Att
Road Accident Fund

7. 3601/19 Christo Claude Heemro vs RAF
Gregory Clark & Ass
Road Accident Fund

Trial date: 09 February 2021

8. 953/20 Christelle Strydom vs Elbe Cornelius Strydom
Kaplan Blumberg
Schoeman Oosthuizen

9. 2349/19 Samantha Kaye Jonker vs RAF
John B Scott Att
Road Accident Fund

10. 3080/19 Andries Links vs RAF Labuschagne van der Walt Att
Road Accident Fund

11. 2145/12 NMBMM vs Blaauwrantz Share Equity (Pty)
McWilliams & Elliott Inc
Lexicon

12. 1405/19 Michael Paul Kabatebate vs RAF Vic Skelton Att
Road Accident Fund

13. 1865/19 Luvuyo Michael Plaatjies vs RAF PB Kitching Att
Road Accident Fund

Trial date: 10 February 2021

14. 3658/13 Linda Steen vs Pierre Kitching Attorneys
De Villiers & Partners
PB Kitching Att

15. 1708/17 M J Phillips vs RAF John B Scott Att
Road Accident Fund

16. 452/18 Clinton John Morris vs RAF Labuschagne van der Walt
Road Accident Fund

17. 78/20 Agnes Roman vs RAF Gregory Clark & Ass
Road Accident Fund

18. 1446/10 Lulamo Vuso vs Minister of Police Andile Aggakayi Att
State Attorneys

Trial date: 11 February 2021

19. 2324/19 Florence Mcophele vs RAF John B Scott Att
Road Accident Fund

20. 2234/18 Eunice Jackson vs RAF Heine Ungerer
Road Accident Fund

21. 31/20 Vanessa van As vs Johannes Abraham van As
Anthony-Gooden Inc
Joyzel Obbes

22. 494/19 Lonwabo Hobongwana vs Benteler South Africa
LHK van der Bank
JGS
REMOVED

23. 645/19 Beauty Ntombonzi Sinuka vs Commercial Meat
Wholesalers (Pty) Ltd Lexicon
BLC

Trial date: 12 February 2021

24. 1957/19 Sikhonathi Tshunungwa vs RAF John B. Scott
Road Accident Fund

25. 3496/19 Dina Carol Bruintjies vs RAF Gregory Clark & Ass
Road Accident Fund
26. 571/18 Mninawe Nqunqa vs Min of Safety & Security
Siya Cokile Inc
State Attorneys
27. 2224/19 Niven Daine Goliath vs RAF Boqwana Burns
Road Accident Fund
28. 2293/19 Nontutuzelo January vs RAF PB Kitching Att
Road Accident Fund

1. **PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later

than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.