

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)  
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS  
*Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP*  
11 February 2021

**Trial date: 15 February 2021**

- |    |                   |                             |  |
|----|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1. | 2139/19           | A F Lupondo vs RAF          | John B. Scott Attorneys<br>The Road Accident Fund  |
| 2. | 2620/18<br>413/20 | T Jennings vs G T Jennings  | Kaplan Blumberg Inc.<br>Dean S. Murray Att         |
| 3. | 1256/16           | T I Ferreira vs D Rademeyer | Friedman Scheckter<br>Liston Brewis Attorneys      |
| 4. | 3309/17           | N Tau vs RAF                | Gregory Clark & Ass Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund |

5. 865/20 A Doubell vs RAF PBK Attorneys  
The Road Accident Fund

**Trial date: 16 February 2021**

6. 632/18 L Nqweniso vs The Minister of Police Lessing, Heyns Van  
Der Bank Attorneys  
State Attorney

7. 1862/16 M E Cherry vs The Minister of Police Lessing Heyns Van  
Der Bank Attorneys  
State Attorney

8. 2137/19 S Maritz vs RAF John B. Scott Att  
The Road Accident Fund

9 955/20 CJ Lotter vs L Lotter (born Hamann)  
Anthony-Gooden Inc.  
Rushmere Noach Inc.

10 679/18 S Mandlana vs RAF Rayno Peo Attorneys  
The Road Accident Fund

11 3666/17 WJ Van der Walt vs The Trustees  
The Ricus Cronje Family Trust & 2 others  
Greyvensteins Inc  
Jacques Du Preez

**Trial date: 17 February 2021**

12. 1174/20 M F Vermaak vs S Vermaak (born Russell)  
Anthony-Gooden Inc.  
Legal Aid of SA

13. 816/17 P D Jack vs RAF  
John B. Scott Attorneys  
The Road Accident Fund

14. 915/13 S Mavuso vs RAF  
Vic Skelton Inc.  
Joubert Galpin Searle Inc.

15. 2325/19 P S Venter vs NMMM  
Lessing Heyns Van Der  
Bank Inc.  
N E Mbewana Attorneys

**Trial date: 18 February 2021**

16. 2420/19 N Jack vs RAF John B. Scott Attorneys  
The Road Accident Fund
17. 3127/19 K Van Wildemann (Born Louw) vs S Van Wildeman  
BLC Attorneys  
Annali Erasmus Inc.
18. 2409/17 J G Block +1 vs The Minister of Police +1  
Peter McKenzie Attorneys  
State Attorney
19. 1777/19 F L Mangawu vs RAF Labuschagne Van Der  
Walt Inc.  
The Road Accident Fund

**Trial date: 19 February 2021**

20. 2140/19 N Sandi vs RAF John B. Scott Attorneys  
The Road Accident fund

- |     |         |                     |   |
|-----|---------|---------------------|---|
| 21. | 687/20  | S Mnyebeleza vs RAF | Labuschagne Van Der Walt Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund |
| 22. | 2480/19 | N Nkombisa vs RAF   | Lawrence Masiza Vorster<br>The Road Accident Fund       |
| 23. | 587/20  | N Mzomba vs RAF     | Gregory Clark & Ass Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund      |
| 24. | 837/20  | R I Mpondu vs RAF   | Boqwana Burns Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund            |

1. **PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note

together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
  - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
  - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
  - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by

videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –
  - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
  - 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
    - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or
    - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.