

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)  
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS  
*Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP*  
25 February 2021

**Trial date: 01 March 2021**

- |    |         |                   |   |
|----|---------|-------------------|---|
| 1. | 2643/19 | BE Booï vs RAF    | John B. Scott Att<br>The Road Accident Fund   |
| 2. | 2043/19 | TS Ntshiza vs RAF | Vic Skelton Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund    |
| 3. | 77/20   | TP Rawula vs RAF  | Gregory Clark & Ass<br>The Road Accident Fund |
| 4. | 1907/19 | N Vimbayo vs RAF  | Boqwana Burns<br>The Road Accident Fund       |

4. (a) 3838/18 C L Botha vs Minister of Police +1 other  
Lessing, Heyns, Keyter  
Van Der Bank Inc.  
The State Attorney

**Trial date: 02 March 2021**

5. 903/19 RA Zeelie vs JA Zeelie Joyzel Obbes Inc.  
Annali Erasmus inc.
6. 2419/19 B Mafani vs RAF John B. Scott Att  
The Road Accident Fund
7. 777/19 E Senekal vs RAF Roelofse Meyer Inc.  
The Road Accident Fund
8. 4014/18 L Gouws vs RAF Labuschagne V D Walt  
The Road Accident Fund

**Trial date: 03 March 2021**

- |     |          |   |  |
|-----|----------|---|--|
| 9.  | 1852/20  | M Madikane vs NMMM  | Lessing Heyns Keyter<br>Van Der Bank<br>Kuban Chetty |
| 10. | 2638/18  | LP Vuma vs RAF  | PBK Attorneys<br>The Road Accident Fund              |
| 11. | 4444/16  | N Makaleni vs RAF   | John B. Scott Att<br>The Road Accident Fund          |
| 12. | 525/2020 | MS Muller vs RAF  | Roelofse Meyer Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund        |
| 13. | 1993/17  | Oricol Enviromental Services (Pty) Ltd vs Agne Steel (Pty) Ltd SA | Greyvensteins Inc.<br>Goldberg & De Villiers         |

**Trial date: 04 March 2021**

- |     |         |                             |   |
|-----|---------|-----------------------------|---|
| 14. | 2987/18 | KP Noemdoe vs Min of Police | W Langson & Ass<br>The State Attorneys        |
| 15. | 1805/19 | N Ntshinga vs RAF           | Roelofse Meyer Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund |
| 16. | 2578/19 | L Potgieter vs RAF          | John B. Scott Att<br>The Road Accident Fund   |

**Trial date: 05 March 2021**

- |     |        |  |                                       |
|-----|--------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 17. | 882/19 | CDL Van Der Mescht N.O. +1 vs MR Moosa | Friedman Scheckter<br>Leon Keyter Att |
|-----|--------|--|---------------------------------------|

- |     |         |  |   |
|-----|---------|--|---|
| 18. | 1492/14 | N M Fodi vs RAF                                | John B. Scott Att<br>The Road Accident Fund         |
| 19. | 1974/09 | D D Ngcingolo vs RAF                           | Le Roux Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund              |
| 20. | 1937/16 | E J S Peters vs RAF                            | McWilliams & Elliott Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund |
| 21. | 784/20  | JCB Transport (Pty) Ltd vs Warwick Arthur Owen | Jacques Du Preez Att<br>Goldberg & De Villiers      |
| 22. | 1612/19 | M V Busmark vs RAF                             | Boqwana Burns Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund        |

1. **PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later

than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
  - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
  - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.