

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Madam Justice Schoeman, ADJP
11 March 2021

Trial date: 15 March 2021

1. 1922/18 S Morris vs Dr. N Van Niekerk Roelofse Meyer Inc.
BLC Attorneys

2. 1462/19 N Blanket obo C Bele vs The MEC, Dept of Education, EC
TM Pitana Attorneys
State Attorney

3. 1736/19 R Felix vs Minister of Police +1 Swarts Attorneys
State Attorney

4. 2102/17 O Matshaya vs RAF John B. Scott Att
The Road Accident Fund

5. 68/20 Tradwith 12 (Pty) Ltd t/a Atlas vs S Moore
Friedman Scheckter
Kaplan Blumberg Att

Trial date: 16 March 2021

- | | | | |
|----|---------|---------------------|---|
| 6. | 1707/15 | M Qupe vs N Bangane | Noble Sikwela Attorneys
D Gouws Attorneys |
| 7. | 3083/14 | N. Kona vs RAF | John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund |

Trial date: 17 March 2021

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|--|--|
| 8. | 366/20 | Merchant West (Pty) Ltd vs F & J Administrators Trust N.O.
+7 | Ruchmere Noach Inc.
Jacques Du Preez Inc. |
| 9. | 2516/13 | PJJ Opperman vs RAF | John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund |
| 10. | 2477/19 | C Haroy vs NMMM (Uitnhage Administrative Unit) | Lessing, Heyns, Keyter
& Van Der Bank
Roland Meyer & Co. Att |

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|----------------------------|---|
| 11. | 1962/16 | SE Barendse vs LR Barendse | BLC Attorneys
Swarts Attorneys |
| 12. | 2289/18 | B Stoffels vs RAF | Rayno Peo Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund |
| 13. | 1914/19 | NC Mlindazwe vs RAF | Roelofse Meyer Inc.
The Road Accident Fund |

Trial date: 18 March 2021

- | | | | |
|-----|--------|---------------------------------|---|
| 14. | 703/18 | P Malanga vs Minister of Police | Lessing Heyns Keyter and
Van Der Bank Inc.
State Attorney |
| 15. | 428/18 | S Magadla (Mbambosi) vs RAF | Labuschagne Van Der
Walt Inc.
The Road Accident Fund |

16.	577/18	NE Mcondobi vs RAF	Rayno Peo Attorneys The Road Accident Fund
-----	--------	--------------------	---

Trial date: 19 March 2021

17.	1404/15	T Ntsimango vs RAF	John B. Scott Attorneys The Road Accident Fund
-----	---------	--------------------	---

18.	647/19	M.J Louw vs RAF	PBK Attorneys The Road Accident Fund
-----	--------	-----------------	---

19.	1412/16	S. Chisora vs RAF	Rayno Peo Attorneys The Road Accident Fund
-----	---------	-------------------	---

20.	3484/18	V Sithebe vs RAF	Boqwana Burns Inc. The Road Accident Fund
-----	---------	------------------	--

1. **PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and

1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –

1.4.1 the reasons therefor;

1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.