

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
8 April 2021

Trial date: 13 April 2021

1. 2316/18 Roelof Len Louw vs Minister of Police **LHK v/d Bank
State Attorney**

2. 2797/19 E Pierre Holm vs Johan Josef Landman
**Pagdens
Lawrence Masiza Vorster**

3. 3858/17 Thembaletu Gxasheka vs NMMM **TM Pitana
McWilliams & Elliot**

4. 2650/19 Alexander Odufu vs New National Assurance Company Ltd
**Lizelle Pretorius
PG Prinsloo**

5. 1280/19 Ashley Nortan Denver Fortuin vs RAF **Gregory Clark
RAF**

6. 1100/19 Kyaya Lennox Daba vs RAF **Rayno Peo
RAF**

7. 1136/16 Jayde Chad Ferris vs RAF **Boqwana Burns
RAF**

Trial date: 14 April 2021

8. 2787/19 Susara M. O'Kennedy vs Peter C. O'Kenndy
**Joyzel Obbes
Anthony-Gooden**

9. 1057/20 Nosisi Bashman vs NMMM **Magqabi Seth Zeta
Lulama Prince**

10. 3137/12 Phindiwe Margaret Bhe vs NMMM **John B. Scott
Ketse Nonkwelo**

11. 1070/17 Ndumiso Welcome Mkoto vs RAF **Rayno Peo
RAF**

12. 423/20 Nceba Vusumzi Reubin Ngozi vs RAF **Boqwana Burns
RAF**

Trial date: 15 April 2021

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|--|--|
| 13. | 2966/19 | RConrad Kayser vs Andile Lungisa | Roelofse Meyer
NE Mbewana |
| 14. | 620/20 | Coreen Zeelie vs RAF | McWilliams & Elliot
RAF |
| 15. | 872/20 | Daniel Matthys Booyens vs RAF | PBK Attorney
RAF |
| 16. | 3067/18 | Searen CC vs 1 Life Projects (Pty) Ltd | Kaplan Blumberg
Pagdens |

Trial date: 16 April 2021

17. 2610/17 Siviwe Lauwerence Mrara vs RAF **Goldberg & De Villiers
RAF**
18. 2154/16 Pearl Brenda Khona vs RAF **Raymond Bojanic
RAF**
19. 2465/14 Sibabalwa Mtimkulu vs RAF **John B. Scott
RAF**

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and

1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –

1.4.1 the reasons therefor;

1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.