

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
15th April 2021

Trial date: 19 April 2021

- | | | | |
|----|---------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. | 398/16 | NMMM vs Erastyle (Pty) Ltd+7 | Gray Moodliar Att
Fredericks Inc. |
| 2. | 1421/11 | N T Naidoo vs Minister of Police +1 | Lessing, Heyns &
and Van Der Bank Inc.
State Attorneys |
| 3. | 171/15 | C Hermanus vs RAF | John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund |
| 4. | 4014/18 | L Gouws vs RAF | Labuschagne
Van Der Walt Inc.
The Road Accident Fund |

Trial date: 20 April 2021

- | | | | |
|----|---------|--------------------|---|
| 5. | 2473/19 | BM Michaels vs RAF | John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund |
|----|---------|--------------------|---|

6. 3124/17 Body Corporate of Olympic Village Scheme N.O. vs
A M Pottas +2 BBV
Leon Keyter

Trial date: 21 April 2021

7. 4172/17 L Rademeyer vs MEC for Health, EC Lunen Meyer
State Attorney

8. 2205/18 W L O'Connor vs I G O'Connor Lizelle Pretorius Inc.
McWilliams & Elliott

9. 216/19 C F Basson vs RAF McWilliams & Elliott
The Road Accident Fund

10. 1305/19 M M Nzenze vs RAF Rayno Peo Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund

11. 3480/14 S L A Jasson vs RAF John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund

Trial Date: 22 April 2021

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|---|--|
| 12. | 4066/15 | N K Skeyi vs Minister of Police | Lessing, Heyns &
Van Der Bank
State Attorney |
| 13. | 1951/18 | Gynae-Dye SA (Pty) Ltd vs Mega Agri+1 | PBK Attorneys
Lawrence Masiza Vorster |
| 14. | 1972/19 | JB White vs Fridge Foods Group (Pty) Ltd +3 | Goldberg & De Villiers
Nelson Attorneys |

Trial date: 23 April 2021

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|------------------------|---|
| 15. | 1260/20 | N V Kabane vs L Kabane | Bukky Olowookorun
Greyvensteins Inc. |
| 16. | 357/19 | S Makhubalo vs RAF | Maci Inc.
The Road Accident Fund |

1. **PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;

1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and

1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –

1.4.1 the reasons therefor;

1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.