## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH) ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP 22nd April 2021

Trial date: 26 April 2021

| 1.                        | 2007/17 | S P Moepane vs RAF   | Rayno Peo Attorneys<br>The Road Accident Fund              |  |  |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 2.                        | 2591/17 | O Mshudulu vs RAF    | Labuschagne Van Der<br>Walt Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund |  |  |
| 3.                        | 83/20   | N Minnie vs RAF      | Gregory Clark & Ass<br>The Road Accident Fund              |  |  |
| Trial date: 28 April 2021 |         |                      |  |  |  |
| 4.                        | 989/20  | L Heunis vs E Heunis | Lizelle Pretorius Inc.<br>Lexicon Attorneys                |  |  |
| 5.                        | 343/14  | A Tendewu vs RAF     | John B. Scott Att The Road Accident Fund                   |  |  |

6. 2423/20 Newport Plant Hire CC vs HAZ Diesel Distri (Pty) Ltd Friedman Scheckter Greyvensteins Inc.

## Trial date: 29 April 2021

| 7.  | 1117/20 | D J Bennett vs E M Bennett+3     | Anthony-Gooden Inc.<br>Kaplan Blumberg Att                 |
|-----|---------|----------------------------------|--|
| 8.  | 1627/20 | M C De Villiers vs M De Villiers | Joyzel Obbes Inc.<br>Brown Braude & Vlok                   |
| 9.  | 338/19  | M M Moqayi vs RAF                | Rayno Peo Attorneys<br>The Road Accident Fund              |
| 10. | 1243/19 | N V Sali vs RAF                  | Labuschagne Van Der<br>Walt Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund |
| 11. | 3014/18 | L E Peter vs RAF                 | John B. Scott Attorneys<br>The Road Accident Fund          |

12. 882/19 C D L Van Der Mescht NO vs M R Moosa Friedman Scheckter Leon Keyter

## Trial Date: 30 April 2021

| 13. | 4045/15 | Standard Bank of SA Ltd vs ECU | Investments (Pty) Ltd +3<br>Strauss Daly Attorneys<br>In Person |
|-----|---------|--------------------------------|---|
| 14. | 2045/19 | M W Sidina vs RAF              | Heine Ungerer Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund                    |
| 15. | 2639/19 | S R Huckle vs RAF              | John B. Scott Attorneys<br>The Road Accident Fund               |
| 16. | 1236/19 | X Duma vs RAF                  | Lawrence Masiza Vorster<br>The Road Accident Fund               |
| 17. | 3059/18 | N Marwanqana vs RAF            | Vic Skelton Inc.<br>The Road Accident Fund                      |

18. 3433/19 N Madityana vs The Road Accident Fund
Gregory Clark & Ass
The Road Accident Fund

- 1. PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:
  - 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
  - 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;

- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3
  - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
  - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
  - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.
- 2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

- 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
- 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
  - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or
  - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.