

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
30 April 2021

Trial date: 3 May 2021

- | | | | |
|----|---------|---|---|
| 1. | 1980/18 | Lishka Anderson vs Le Roux Inc. | Kaplan Blumberg
Le Roux Inc. |
| 2. | 451/19 | Tulani Mguqulwa vs RAF | Rayno Peo
RAF |
| 3. | 872/19 | Nicolette Petersen vs RAF | Morne Struwig
JGS |
| 4. | 1351/20 | Simphiwe Vincent Bongwana vs Minister of Police + 1 | Ntanzi Attorney
State Attorney |

4A. 542/16 Vula Energy (Pty) Ltd vs L A Greef

**Van Heerdens Att
Labuschagne v/d Walt Inc**

Trial date: 4 May 2021

5. 849/17 Michelle Frollick vs Cedrick T. Frollick

**Greyvensteins
Danie Gouws**

6. 2716/18 Michiel Klue vs Hume Melvill

**Greyvensteins
Schaefer Inc./JGS
Motion Crt: 28/04/2021**

7. 1187/17 Rentworks Africa (Pty) Ltd vs GC Diesel Pumps CC

**Kaplan Blumberg
Stuart Laubscher**

8. 1129/19 Ntsikelelo Gadeni vs RAF

Ryno Peo

RAF

9. 110/17 Chantel Trophel vs RAF

**Morne Struwig
RAF**

10. 4032/16 C.N. Salusalu vs RAF

**John. B. Scott
RAF**

Trial date: 5 May 2021

11. 3520/18 Navanevan Richard Booysen vs Minister of Police + 1

**Keyter Attorneys
State Attorney**

12. 1649/20 Adri Vosloo vs Rick Vosloo

**Lizelle Pretorius
Van Heerdens**

13. 1775/19 Pumla Soga vs RAF

**Labuschagne van der Walt
RAF**

14. 3245/17 Desmond Jenniker vs RAF

**Heine Ungerer
RAF**

15. 2471/19 Sophie Mgcwembe vs RAF

**John B. Scott
RAF**

Trial date: 6 May 2021

16. 3479/17 Olivia Phumla Majola vs NMMM

**LH & van der Bank
BBV**

17. 398/20 Ralph M. Betz vs Netcare Hospitals (Pty) Ltd

**Strauss Daly
JGS**

18. 3632/14 Phumlani Sidumo vs RAF

**John B. Scott
RAF**

19. 2090/17 Josephine Ruiters vs RAF

**McWilliams & Elliot
RAF**

20. 800/20 Gladys Chiware vs RAF

**Gregory Clark
RAF**

Trial date: 7 May 2021

21. 3094/19 Melanie Carolus vs RAF

**McWilliams & Elliot
RAF**

22. 2381/19 Valerie Rudman vs RAF

**Goldberg & De Villiers
RAF**

23. 723/19 Ntombizanele M. Marasi vs RAF

Lawrence Masiza Vorster
RAF

24. 2396/15 Clint Romano Nazer vs RAF

John B. Scott
RAF

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;

- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.