

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
6TH MAY 2021

Trial date: 10 May 2021

1. 1742/19 DC Serforntein vs MEC for Health, EC
Roelofse Meyer Inc.
Smith Tabata Att

2. 1713/17 S Sijaj vs Minister of Police
NN Joyi Att
State Attorney

3. 4222/17 I M Ferreira vs RAF
Goldberg & De Villiers
The Road Accident Fund

4. 2416/19 CR Peterson vs RAF
John B. Scott Att
The Road Accident Fund

Trial date: 11 May 2021

5. 3241/18 T C Sokele vs Minister of Police +1
Keyter Attorneys
State Attorney

6. 3114/19 Cathay Industries (Africa) (Pty) Ltd vs Michael Anthony Da Silva
Goldberg & De Villiers
Van Zyl's Inc. Att

7. 3120/19 A M Mto vs RAF
Gregory Clark & Ass
The Road Accident Fund

8. 4149/17 M K Nduneni vs RAF
Rayno Peo Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund

9. 4392/14 M D Williams vs RAF
John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund

10. 120/20 B Jonas vs NMBMM Ntanzi Attorneys
BDLS Attorneys

11. 68/20 Tradwith 12 (Pty) Ltd t/a Atlas Security System vs S Moore
Friedman Scheckter
Kaplan Blumberg Inc.

Trial Date: 12 May 2021

12. 4184/14 A Roman vs RAF John B. Scott Attorneys
Nolands Law

13. 1025/17& NP Oliphant vs NMMM Lessing, Heyns, Keyter
1026/17 Van Der Bank
McWilliams & Elliott

Trial Date: 13 May 2021

14. 2500/18 N Yayi vs Minister of Police +1 Keyter Attorneys
State Attorne

15. 3306/19 MA Auto CC t/a Auto Excutive vs Nozomi 129 CC
Friedman Scheckter
16. 994/14 T A Booi vs National Union of Metal Workers of SA
Lexicon Attorneys
Boyens Attorneys
17. 2541/16 J Slamdil vs RAF
John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund
18. 3122/19 E Daniel vs RAF
Gregory Clark & Ass
The Road Accident Fund
- Trial Date: 14 May 2021**
19. 968/20 P M Diniso vs RAF
Goldberg & De Villiers
The Road Accident Fund

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|------------------------|--|
| 20. | 4208/17 | S P Nonzube vs RAF | John B. Scott Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund |
| 21. | 2621/19 | E J Stuurman vs RAF | Rayno Peo Attorneys
The Road Accident Fund |
| 22. | 3807/18 | H J Riddle vs RAF | Labuschagne Van Der
Walt Inc.
The Road Accident Fund |
| 23. | 3597/17 | M Jansen vs RAF | Gregory Clark & Ass
The Road Accident Fund |
| 24. | 1377/13 | P J Naidoo vs NMBMM +8 | Robert J. Martindale
Goldberg & De Villiers |

1. **PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for

hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –

- 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
- 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
- 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.