

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)  
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS  
*Before Honourable Justice Bloem, ADJP*  
5 AUGUST 2021

**Trial date: 10 AUG 2021**

1. 4206/16 Ronnel Nasson vs MEC, Department of Health, EC + 1  
**W. Langson**  
**State Attorney**
  
2. 4301/17 Mzimasi Dyantyi vs Minister of Police + 2  
**Matiwane Attorney**  
**State Attorney**
  
3. 1117/19 Tarquin Julies vs Peter McKenzie t/a  
Peter McKenzie Attorneys  
**Vic Skelton**  
**JGS**
  
4. 4129/18 Dr George Booyens vs To Anywhere Carriers (Pty) Ltd  
**BLC**  
**Goldberg & De Villiers**

**Trial Date: 11 AUG 2021**

5. 3756/10 Samuel Mzukisi Magugu vs Minister of Police  
**BBV**  
**State Attorney**
6. ~~3093/17 Journey Vehicle and Asset Finance CC vs ProKhaya Construction CC + 2~~  
**Greyvensteins**  
**Ms Nacerodien**  
**REMOVED**
7. 4448/16 Lesley Walter Erasmus vs The City of Nelson Mandela Bay Metropolitan Municipality + 2  
**Morne Struwig**  
**McWilliams & Elliot**  
**Dean Murray**  
**Rushmere Noach**

**Trial Date: 12 AUG 2021**

8. ~~490/19 Romeo Blaauw vs Minister of Police + 1~~  
**O'Brien Inc**  
**State Attorney**  
**REMOVED**

9. 844/15 Als Rentals (West Cape) (Pty) Ltd vs  
Venessa Elizabeth Maree  
**Jaco Hattingh**  
**Gregory Clark**
10. 3606/19 Vuyiseka Tyukala vs RAF  
**PBK Attorney**  
**RAF**
11. 1984/19 Riza Shabeer Prince vs RAF  
**Labuschagne v/der Walt**  
**RAF**
- Trial Date: 13 AUG 2021**
12. 1722/12 Zukiswa Margaret Marwana vs NMMM  
**John B. Scott**  
**Goldberg & De Villiers**

13. 3876/18 Phindile Guyborn Ngqezana vs RAF

**Rayno Peo**  
**RAF**

14. 347/15 Ntombizanele Judith January vs RAF

**John B. Scott**  
**RAF**

**PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;

- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
  - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
  - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
  - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.