IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH) ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP 12 AUGUST 2021

Trial date: 16 August 2021

1.	2700/08	P Van Rensburg vs A Van Zyl Muller	Pagdens Inc.
			D Gouws Att

2. 2170/18 Consolidated Power Projects (Pty) Ltd vs Protection Domestic Industrial Electrical CC Van Wyk Att AC Greyling & Ass

3. 1057/20 N Bashman vs NMMM

Magqabi Seth Zeta Lulama Prince

4. 2373/20 TS Chinamora vs RAF McWillia

McWilliams & Elliott Road Accident Fund

Trial Date: 17 August 2021

5.	139/15	SR Wewege vs Steel Pipes and Fittings	(Pty) Ltd Mark Rossouw Inc. Friedman Scheckter
6.	2787/19	SM O'Kennedy vs PC O'Kennedy	Anthony Gooden Inc. In Person
7.	2709/19	N A Windvogel vs RAF	Jaco Hattingh Inc. Road Accident Fund

Trial date: 18 August 2021

8.	3240/18	S Mbenga vs Minister of Police +1	Keyter Attorneys
			State Attorney

9. 2045/19 M W Sidina vs RAF

Heine Ungerer Att Road Accident Fund

10.	3182/19	M Mjikelo vs RAF	Labuschagne Van der	
			Walt Inc.	
			Road Accident Fund	

11.152/15D L Jonas vs RAFJohn B. Scott Att
Road Accident Fund

12.	3349/18	M M Ntshadu vs RAF	Vic Skelton Inc.
			Road Accident Fund

13	2137/20	T F Van Vuuren vs RAF	PBK Attorneys
			Road Accident Fund

Trial date: 19 August 2021

14	1293/16	X E Ncalu vs Imperial Holding Ltd +3	Lexicon Attorneys
			Wouter Minnie

15. 2672/20 C Klaasen vs RAF McWilliams & Elliott

16.	3083/14	N Kona vs RAF	John B. Scott Att
			Road Accident Fund

17.70/20M Mtati vs M L MtatiIn PersonPhil West Attorneys

18. 732/20 T S Dyan vs RAF

Rayno Peo Attorneys Road Accident Fund

Trial Date: 20 August 2021

19. 3245/17 D Jenniker vs RAF

Heine Ungerer Att Road Accident Fund

- 20. 3919/18 P J France N.O. +1 vs Kouga Municipality Friedman Scheckter SchoemanOosthuizen
- 21.
 405/15
 W Jooste vs RAF
 John B. Scott Att

22. 1316/12 N I Mkonto vs RAF

John B. Scott Att Road Accident Fund

23. 2136/20 R Terblanche vs RAF

PBK Attorneys Road Accident Fund

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or

contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

- Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –
 - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
 - 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
 - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or
 - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.