IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH) ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP 19 AUGUST 2021

Trial date: 23 AUG 2021

- 1. 1713/17 Sikhumbuzo Sijaji vs Minister of Police + 1

 Lessing Heyns v/der Bank

 State Attorney
- 2. 2413/20 Jennifer Barbara Doubel vs RAF

McWilliams & Elliot RAF

Trial Date: 24 AUG 2021

3. 2868/19 Environmental Technology Hydro CC vs NMMM

Kaplan Blumberg

BNI

4. 2381/19 Valerie May Rudman vs RAF

Goldberg & De Villiers RAF

5	3662/17	Mininawe Nqunqa vs Rococnet Pt	Siya Cokile In Person
6	1715/19	Asiphesona Annastasia Tanda vs	RAF PBK Attorney RAF
7	991/20	•	uschagne v/der Walt RAF
		Trial Date: 25 AUG 2021	
8.	1845/16	Ashley Jacobs vs RAF	Heine Ungerer RAF
9.	504/19	Egan Leston Cannon vs RAF	Villiams & Elliot RAF

10.	533/21	Mzingisi A. Tele vs Sibusisiwe G.	Tele Kaplan Blumberg Legal Aid SA
11.	67/14	Evon Percensie NO vs RAF	Swarts Attorney RAF
		<u>Trial Date: 26 AUG 2021</u>	
12.	2193/18	Robert A.Groves vs Minister of Po	olice + 1 Pieter McKenzie State Attorney
		<u>Trial Date: 27 AUG 2021</u>	
13.	2374/20	Candice Kivido vs RAF McV	/illiams & Elliot RAF
14.	170/19	Ntombomzi Mabel Daweti vs RAF	Morne Struwig RAF

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the

parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and

- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.
- Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –
 - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

- 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
 - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or
 - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.