

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
09 SEPTEMBER 2021

Trial date: 13 September 2021

1. 3296/18 L E Mossie +1 vs Minister of Police **Morne Struwig Inc.**
State Attorney

2. 582/16 A C Bower vs RAF **McWilliams & Elliott**
Road Accident Fund

3. 803/18 C R Bruyns vs H T Bruyns +4 **O'Brien Inc.**
Meyer Inc.

4. 408/14 S Jantjies vs RAF **John B. Scott Att**
RAF

Trial Date: 14 September 2021

| | | | |
|-------|---------|-----------------------|---|
| 5 | 944/20 | B G Salman vs RAF | John B. Scott Att State Attorney |
| 5 (a) | 496/20 | B M Jojo vs RAF | Boqwana Burns Inc. State Attorney |
| 6 | 3351/19 | M G Mtandi vs RAF | Rayno Peo Att Road Accident Fund |
| 7 | 2478/19 | B Alexander +3 vs RAF | Heine Ungerer Inc. Road Accident Fund |
| 8. | 2957/19 | M E Fani vs RAF | Lawrence Masiza Vorster Road Accident Fund |

8 (a) 2867/15 S Linda vs RAF **Brown, Braude & Vlok
Road Accident Fund**

Trial Date: 15 September 2021

9. 4006/17 A Lali vs RAF **Gregory Clark & As
Road Accident Fund**

10. 3179/14 Coega Development Corporation (Pty) Ltd vs
Public Discipline and Intergration of Technology EC
**Brown, Braude & Vlok
Andile Ngqakayi**

11. 1977/11 B Raman vs RAF **Rayno Peo Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

12. 1322/20 T J Nkevu vs RAF **PBK Attorneys
State Attorney**

Trial Date: 16 September 2021

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 13. | 3193/19 | B S Msengi vs RAF | Lawrence Masiza Vorster Road Accident Fund |
| 14. | 4075/18 | T P Koen vs RAF | Goldberg & De Villiers Road Accident Fund |
| 15. | 2745/16 | N J Sijemlana vs RAF | John B. Scott Att Road Accident Fund |
| 16. | 1361/18 | S Jappie vs Minister of Police N.O. | Morne Struwig State Attorney |
| 17. | 2513/20 | E L Grootboom vs RAF | McWilliams & Elliott Inc. Road Accident Fund |

18. 709/20 M W Batisi vs RAF **PBK Attorneys
State Attorney**
19. 641/19 T Sando vs RAF **Rayno Peo Att
Road Accident Fund**

Trial Date: 17 September 2021

20. 3120/20 P V Makhaluza vs RAF **PBK Attorneys
State Attorney**
21. 725/15 K V Tutu vs RAF **John B. Scott Att
Road Accident Fund**
22. 1954/20 B C Nell vs W Nell **Lizelle Pretorius Inc.
Meyer Inc.**
23. 2182/19 N Gcosini vs RAF **Rayno Peo Att
Road Accident Fund**

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of

the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed
by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and

1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as
envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –

1.4.1 the reasons therefor;

1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of
videoconference, alternatively where a trial by
videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable,
to state the reasons therefor and to set out the
suggested arrangements with due consideration to the
prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or
contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff
and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial
meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons
why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.