

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
04 November 2021

Trial date: 08 November 2021

- | | | | |
|----|---------|---|---|
| 1 | 1734/19 | E Jacobs vs Minister of Police +1 | Swarts Attorneys
State Attorney |
| 2. | 4475/18 | Endulini Fruit (Pty) Ltd vs Elwandle Projects | JGS
Strauss Daly Att |
| 3. | 4154/18 | SVA International (Pty) Ltd vs The Workplace Development Firm
CC + 2 | Greyvensteins
Mike Nurse |
| 4. | 1937/20 | L Van Huyssteen vs RAF | Rayno Peo Attorneys
State Attorney |

5. 2229/20 A Van Der Merwe vs RAF **PBK Attorneys
State Attorney**

6. 1279/20 J N Hlela vs RAF **W. Langson & Assoc
State Attorneys**

Trial date: 09 November 2021

7. 4186/17 NMBMM vs Le Roux Inc. +7 **Gray Moodliar
Meyer Inc.
Greyvensteins**

8. 1985/20 N E Sundu vs RAF **John B. Scott Att
State Attorney**

9. 2595/20 M J Jack vs RAF **Meyer Inc.
State Attorney**

Trial date: 10 November 2021

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|---|--|
| 10. | 739/18 | A M Davids vs Minister of Police +1 | Lessing, Heyns and
Van Der Bank Inc.
State Attorney |
| 11. | 3666/17 | W J Van Der Walt vs The Trustees for the Time being of the
Cronje Family Trust | Greyvensteins
Jacques Du Preez Att |
| 12. | 1350/20 | S B Claasen vs RAF | PBK Attorneys
State Attorney |
| 13. | 1267/20 | M M Hoffman vs RAF | Labuschagne Van Der
Walt Inc.
State Attorney |

Trial Date: 11 November 2021

14.	2430/15	V Armoed vs Z J Manqana	Boqwana Burns Att Pagdens
15.	139/20	A Booie vs RAF	Rayno Peo Attorneys State Attorney
16.	70/20	M Mtati vs M L Mtati	Phil West Attorneys In Person
17.	2514/20	S Gqoli vs RAF	McWilliams & Elliott State Attorney
18.	160719	S Mkanyeli vs RAF	Labuschagne Van Der Walt Inc. Road Accident Fund

Trial date: 12 November 2021

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|---------------------|--|
| 19. | 2959/14 | S Gumenge vs RAF | John B. Scott Att
Road Accident Fund |
| 20. | 371/20 | E Felix vs RAF | PBK Attorneys
State Attorney |
| 21. | 507/19 | K D Oormeyer vs RAF | McWilliams & Elliott
Road Accident Fund |
| 22. | 2061/18 | B Alih vs RAF | Gregory Clark & Ass
Road Accident Fund |

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice

note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection

or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.