

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)  
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS  
*Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP*  
11 NOVEMBER 2021

**Trial date: 15 NOV 2021**

1. 3562/19 Mthethelei Joseph Stemele vs Min of Police  
**LHK van der Bank**  
**State Attorneys**
  
2. 2439/12 Primat Construction CC vs NMMM  
**Rayno Peo Att**  
**Brown Braude & Vlok**
  
3. 1700/20 Ntombekhaya Gladys Qotele vs RAF  
**Meyer Inc**  
**RAF**

**Trial date: 16 NOV 2021**

4. 2070/20 Melanie Els vs Reon Human  
**Anthony-Gooden Inc**  
**Dean Murray Att**
  
5. 645/19 Beauty Ntombonzi Sinuka vs Commercial Meat Wholesalers (Pty) Ltd  
**BLC**  
**Lexicon**
  
6. 3093/17 Journey Vehicle & Asset Finance CC vs Pro Khaya Construction CC +2  
**Greyvensteins**  
**//**
  
7. 2441/20 N P Mkuzo vs RAF  
**Rayno Peo**  
**RAF**

8. 1758/17 Nkosinathi Jawa vs RAF **John B Scott Att  
RAF**

9. 1297/20 Bandile Salaza vs RAF **PBK Attorneys  
RAF**

10. 1023/21 Maria Paulina Scholtz vs Tanya Ferreira  
**Rushmere Noach  
Van Heerdens**

**Trial date: 17 NOV 2021**

11. 665/19 Athenkosi Cuba vs Minister of Police +1  
**LHK van der Bank  
State Attorneys**

12. 456/21 Denise Anne Rix vs Alan Keith Rix  
**Kaplan Blumberg  
Moya Rossouw**

13. 1710/20 Sizwe I Khumalo vs RAF **Meyer Inc**  
**RAF**
14. 2375/20 Bitone Pambaya vs RAF **McWilliams & Elliott Inc**  
**RAF**
15. 2383/20 Marcel Jermaine Plaatjies vs RAF  
**W Langson & Associates**  
**RAF**

**Trial date: 18 NOV 2021**

16. 1576/18 Sithembele Higa vs RAF **John B Scott Att**  
**RAF**
17. 1514/19 K R Goliath vs RAF **Boqwana Burns**  
**RAF**

18. 1807/19 Jaco Jansen van Rensburg vs RAF  
**Heine Ungerer**  
**RAF**
19. 2138/20 Bronwyn Castelyn vs RAF **PBK Attorneys**  
**RAF**
20. 1310/20 N Amsterdam obo C J Amsterdam vs RAF  
**Gregory Clark & Ass**  
**RAF**

**Trial date: 19 NOV 2021**

21. 1876/20 G B Waterproofing CC vs Leek Construction CC  
**Bester**  
**Jacques Du Preez Att**
22. 911/17 Asanda Mbambosi vs RAF **Rayno Peo**  
**RAF**

23. 518/21 C M Dolph vs RAF **Boqwana Burns  
RAF**
24. 2265/20 Juliana Anita Olivier vs RAF **McWilliams & Elliott Inc  
RAF**
25. 1571/19 Andre Alrico Swartz vs RAF **Gregory Clark & Ass  
RAF**

**PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial

readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
  - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
  - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
  - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the

suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.