

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice
24 NOVEMBER 2021

Trial date: 29 NOV 2021

1. 892/18 J Brown vs Minister of Police + 1
LHK v/d Bank
State Attorneys

2. 3479/17 Olive Phumla Majola vs NMMM
LHK v/d Bank
BBV

3. 2060/20 Ayanda Gitywa vs RAF
Rayno Peo
RAF

4. 2400/20 Risa Nokuthula Enelisa Mcandi vs RAF
Meyer Inc
RAF

5. 989/19 David Johannes Kruger vs RAF
Heine Ungerer Att
RAF

Trial date: 30 NOV 2021

6. 4289/17 Julie Margaret Coetzee vs Dr T W Kokoszka
Morne Struwig Inc
BLC
REMOVED

7. **REMOVED**

8. 2512/19 Agnes Mare-Antionette Steyn vs RAF
Rayno Peo
RAF

9. 2474/19 Bomkazi Mdaka vs RAF
John B Scott
RAF

10. 1253/21 N Machaba vs RAF **Boqwana Burns
RAF**

11. 1743/18 Minshan African Holdings (Pty) Ltd
Vs African Skys (Pty) Ltd **TN & Associates
JGS**

11A. 1528/20 Hantle Infra Planning (PTY) Ltd
vs C F B Du Preez **JGS
BLC**

Trial date: 1 DEC 2021

12. 1798/19 Yanley Prinsloo vs Minister of Police +1 **Swarts Attorneys
State Attorneys**

13. 3020/17 Lazola Diniso vs Naledi Dyantyi **Lulama Prince
In Person**

14. 2442/19 Gerald Cornelius Felix vs RAF
Rayno Peo
RAF
15. 899/19 Reese Kenn Richard Duxbury vs RAF
Heine Ungerer
RAF
16. 1069/20 Enrique Heidrich Williams vs RAF
Gregory Clark & Ass
RAF

Trial date: 2 DEC 2021

17. 2341/20 Wyndham Peter George van Vuuren vs Samuel
Lomberg +1 (Mrs Van der Bank) / (Mr D Murray)
LHK v/d Bank
Dean Murray Att
18. 2437/20 Zuzile Sidubulekana vs RAF
Rayno Peo
RAF

19. 2323/19 Shandre Chante Jordaan vs RAF
John B Scott
RAF

20. 1071/17 Antoinetter Cecile Johannes vs RAF
Heine Ungerer
RAF

21. 3806/18 Karli van der Westerhuizen vs RAF
L van der Walt
RAF

Trial date: 3 DEC 2021

22. REMOVED

23. 1754/19 Ntombizanele Danster vs RAF
Rayno Peo Att
RAF

24. 292/15 Victoria Ntombenkosi Gertse vs RAF
John B Scott
RAF
25. 1187/21 Esme Hoch vs RAF
PBK Attorneys
RAF
26. 1795/19 Adv Andrew White N.O vs RAF
Morne Struwig Inc
RAF

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial

readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the

suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.