# IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH) ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS <u>Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP</u> 13 January 2022

Trial date: 17 January 2022

#### **JUDGE'S MEETING**

# Trial date: 18 January 2022

1.	1760/20	KP Dindana vs NMMM	Lessing Heyns & Van der Bank Lulama Prince
2.	1222/20	NJ Magwa vs RAF	Rayno Peo Att State Attorney
3.	183/15	C Fortuin vs RAF	John B. Scott Att Road Accident Fund

4. 2076/20 L Pieterson vs RAF

### Labuschagne Van Der Walt Inc. State Attorney

#### **Trial Date: 19 January 2022**

5 2987/18 K P Noemdoe vs Minister of Police

W. Langason &Ass State Attorney

6 412/21 P McKenzie vs CJ Gabriel Carol Geswindt In Person

7 1399/19 T Ntoni vs M Ntoni Lizelle Pretorius Pumeza Bono

8. 2711/20 S Arnolds vs RAF Heine Ungerer Inc. State Attorney

9. 571/21 J Dorfling vs RAF Jaco Hattingh Att
State Attorney

# **Trial Date: 20 January 2022**

10. 2216/17 P Mgolodela vs Minister of Police

Stromberg Pieterse State Attorney REMOVED

11. 1303/19 FD Harmse vs RAF Rayno Peo Attorneys Road Accident Fund

12. 2348/14 FC Mathodlana vs RAF John B. Scott Att Road Accident Fund

13. 1878/19 AM Du Plessis vs J Du Plessis

Anthony-Gooden Inc.
Greyvensteins Inc.
REMOVED

14. 89/19 K Rondganger obo G ARondganger vs RAF

Gregory Clark & Ass

Road Accident Fund

# **Trial Date: 21 January 2022**

15. 4206/17 VH Tame vs RAF Rayno Peo Attorneys Road Accident Fund

16. 3883/17 YS Vellem vs RAF John B. Scott Att Road Accident Fund

17. 384/15 LM Fortuin vs RAF

Heine Ungerer Inc.
Road Accident Fund
REMOVED

18. 2075/20 M Dabane vs RAF

Labuschagne Van der Walt Inc.
State Attorney

19. 2523/20 K Naidoo vs RAF

Goldberg & De Villiers State Attorney

20. 2543/20 J J Nagel vs RAF

Meyer Inc. State Attorney

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial

readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing asenvisaged in paragraph 1.3
  - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
  - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
  - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the

suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

- Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –
  - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
  - 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
    - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or
    - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.