

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)  
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS  
*Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP*  
20 January 2022

**Trial date: 24 January 2022**

1. 1254/20 Daniel Padayachee vs RAF **Rayno Peo Att  
RAF**
  
2. 1790/18 Franklin Martin Oosthuizen vs RAF **J.B. Scott  
RAF**
  
3. 2402/20 L.G. Mebengo vs RAF **Meyer Inc.  
RAF**

**Trial Date: 25 January 2022**

4. 2477/19 Chantelle Hardy vs NMMM **Lessing Heyns  
Roland Meyer**
  
5. 4301/17 Mzimasi Dyantyi vs Minister of Police **State Attorneys**

6. 1605/19 Nellie J Hoffman vs RAF **Boqwana Burns  
RAF**

7. 3623/17 M Sileku vs RAF **John B Scott  
RAF**

8. 1856/19 M L Gcakasi vs RAF **Labuschagne vd Walt  
RAF**

**Trial Date: 26 January 2022**

9. 1188/15 M Korkee vs Min of Corr Serv **O'Brien Inc.  
State Attorney**

10. 1223/20 Phumzile Kama vs RAF **Rayno Peo  
RAF**

11. 577/19 J Ferreira vs Dave McKay + 1 **Meyer Inc.  
Smith Tabata**

12. 353/20 L G Mbengo vs RAF **Heine Ungerer  
RAF**

13. 1715/19 A A Tanda vs RAF **PBK Attorneys  
RAF**

**Trial Date: 27 January 2022**

14. 1172/15 B Olivier vs NMMM **Morne Struwig Inc.  
Lexicon Inc.**

15. 3719/14 N P Mabona vs RAF **John B. Scott  
RAF**

16. 1260/20 N V Kabane vs L Kabane **Bukky Olowookorun Att**

17. 2475/19 E N Gubayo vs RAF **John B. Scott  
RAF**

18. 4375/16 H Windvogel vs RAF **McWilliams & Elliott  
RAF**

**Trial Date: 28 January 2022**

19. 2734/20 J Peter Krebs vs RAF **PBK Att.  
RAF**

20. 1556/18 A Magwadi vs RAF **Rayno Peo  
RAF**

21. 1228/20 N C. Visagie vs RAF **W. Langson & Assoc.  
RAF**

22. 2885/20 B George Gelderbloem vs RAF **Labuschagne vd Walt  
RAF**

23. 1796/20 N M Siswana vs RAF **Heine Ungerer  
RAF**

**PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and

1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –

1.4.1 the reasons therefor;

1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.