

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)  
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS  
*Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP*  
27 January 2022

**Trial date: 31 January 2022**

1. 3426/17 Sharon Ray Smethurst vs Dr Daniel Truter  
**PBK Att.**  
**BLC**
  
2. 3712/16 NMBM vs Afrisec Strategic Solutions + 7  
**Grey Moodliar Att.**  
**Kaplan Blumberg Att.**  
**Friedman Scheckter**  
**Zolile Ngqezana Att.**
  
3. 2802/18 Alison Rozanne Africa vs RAF  
**Rayno Peo**  
**RAF**
  
4. 2561/19 L M Janse van Rensburg vs RAF  
**John B. Scott**  
**RAF**

**Trial Date: 01 February 2022**

5. 2224/19 N Daine Goliath vs RAF **Boqwana Burns  
RAF**
  
6. 2325/19 Petronelle Sylvia Venter vs NMMM  
**LH vd Bank  
NE Mbewana Att.**
  
7. 1556/20 Sheena Ntomboxolo Pheta vs RAF  
**Labuschagne vd Walt  
RAF**
  
8. 2205/20 Fanny Sampson vs RAF **Mc Williams & Elliott  
RAF**
  
9. 1797/20 Zwelandile Patric Jacobs vs RAF  
**W. Langson & Assoc.  
RAF**

**Trial Date: 02 February 2022**

10. 435/20 Nkosinathi Vena vs Minister of Police N.O.  
**Morne Struwig**  
**State Attorney**

11. 1298/19 Monwabisi C. Madikane vs RAF  
**Rayno Peo**  
**RAF**

12. REMOVED

13. 1115/15 Noxolo Mali vs RAF  
**John B. Scott**  
**RAF**

**Trial Date: 03 February 2022**

14. 1211/20 Hermanus M.R. Botha vs Minister of Police + 1  
**Lessing Heyns**  
**State Attorney**

15. 2341/17 V.P. Noha (as duly appointed *Executrix*) in  
the Estate Late: T. Noha vs RAF  
**PBK Att.**  
**RAF**
16. 584/20 Xolelwa Busisiwe Sybel Mjliwa vs RAF  
**Vic Skelton Inc.**  
**RAF**

**Trial Date: 04 February 2022**

17. 2955/19 Sinethemba Thom vs RAF **Rayno Peo**  
**RAF**
- 18 3605/17 Zanele Zina Jola vs RAF **John B. Scott**  
**RAF**

19. 1237/19 Margaret Felicity Arnolds vs RAF  
**PBK Att**  
**RAF**

20. 2418/20 Nompumelelo Petunia Waka vs RAF  
**Meyer Inc.**  
**RAF**

**PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;

- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
  - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
  - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
  - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.