

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)  
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS  
*Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP*  
3 February 2022

**Trial date: 7 February 2022**

- |   |         |  |  |
|---|---------|--|--|
| 1 | 2347/20 | Irma Swart vs Dr Nico van Niekerk                      | <b>Meyer Inc.<br/>Troskie Inc.</b>               |
| 2 | 4075/18 | Tayla Paige Koen vs RAF                                | <b>Goldberg De Villiers<br/>RAF</b>              |
| 3 | 872/19  | Nicolette Petersen vs RAF                              | <b>Morne Struwig Inc.<br/>RAF</b>                |
| 4 | 2958/16 | Jenine Carla Williams vs<br>MEC, Dept of Health, EC +1 | <b>Hessing Heyns, vd Bank<br/>State Attorney</b> |

5      2342/19      Vuyani Booï vs RAF      **Rayno Peo  
RAF**

6      1320/20      Mboneli Makeleni vs RAF      **PBK Att.  
RAF**

**Trial date: 8 February 2022**

7      3288/17      Peter John Claasen vs RAF      **Rayno Peo  
RAF**

8      2889/16      Luxolo Innocent Pike vs RAF      **John B. Scott  
RAF**

9      1461/21      Nombulelo Peter vs RAF      **Meyer Inc.  
RAF**

**Trial date: 9 February 2022**

|    |         |  |   |
|----|---------|--|---|
| 10 | 827/20  | Leon de Beer vs Minister of Police + 1 | <b>O' Brien Inc.<br/>State Attorney</b> |
| 11 | 3152/17 | Mava Maxwell Baliso vs RAF             | <b>Rayno Peo<br/>RAF</b>                |
| 12 | 2691/20 | Hayden Michael Koopman vs RAF          | <b>PBK Att.<br/>RAF</b>                 |
| 13 | 533/21  | MA Tele vs SG Tele                     | <b>Kaplan Blumberg<br/>Legal-Aid</b>    |

**Trial date: 10 February 2022**

- 14 1446/10 Lulama Vuso vs Minister of Police  
**Andile Ngqakayi  
State Attorney**
- 15 4153/16 Simamkele Sampras Dondashe vs RAF  
**John B. Scott  
RAF**
- 16 1072/20 Nomnula Kuze vs RAF  
**Gregory Clark & Assoc.  
RAF**

**Trial date: 11 February 2022**

- 17 3387/18 Zandisile Xhontana vs RAF  
**Rayno Peo  
RAF**
- 18 2099/14 Adv N Barnard NO obo Siyabulela  
Jeffrey Vangqa vs RAF  
**John B. Scott  
RAF**

|    |         |                             |                                    |
|----|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 19 | 2314/20 | Willem de Wee vs RAF        | <b>Boqwana Burns</b><br><b>RAF</b> |
| 20 | 1068/20 | Mchumani H Jodwana vs RAF   | <b>Gregory Clark</b><br><b>RAF</b> |
| 21 | 30/18   | Michael-Lee E Minnie vs RAF | <b>Heine Ungerer</b><br><b>RAF</b> |

**PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT** there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;

- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
  - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
  - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
  - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.