

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, GQEBERHA)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
10 February 2022

Trial date: 14 February 2022

- | | | | |
|----|---------|---|---|
| 1 | 2596/20 | The Minister of Public Works & Infrastructure vs L Swarts | Boqwana Burns
State Attoreny
Motion Crt 08.02.22 |
| 2 | 2814/19 | Thembinkosi Keli vs RAF | Rayno Peo
RAF |
| 3. | 1053/18 | Andiswa Mbelekane vs RAF | John B. Scott
RAF |
| 4. | 1379/21 | L Celu vs RAF | Meyer Inc.
RAF |

Trial date: 15 February 2022

5. 1348/19 Angelo Arnold Clarke vs RAF
**Goldberg & De Villiers
RAF**
6. 494/19 Lonwabo Hobongwana vs Benteller S.A. Ltd
**LH vd Bank
JGS**
7. 1420/21 T Gqoyiyana vs RAF
**Meyer Inc.
RAF**
8. 2820/19 Cornelius Wilhelm Faber N.O. + 3 vs
Pierre Jacobs + 3
**McWilliams & Elliott
Wikus van Rensburg**

Trial date: 16 February 2022

9. 2394/13 Sipho Sikhuni vs Minister of Police + 1
**O' Brien Inc.
State Attorney**

10. 1204/17 Adv N Barnard N.O. obo Sean Timothy-Rosten Gaehler vs RAF
John B. Scott
RAF
11. 2886/20 Bonakele James Solani vs RAF
Labuschagne vd Walt
RAF
12. 1417/21 Febiana vs RAF
Meyer Inc.
RAF
13. 1560/20 Angelo Bernard Human vs RAF
McWilliams & Elliott .
RAF
- Trial date: 17 February 2022**
14. 1097/20 Melissa Ferreira-Labuschagne vs RAF
LH vd Bank
RAF

15. 3766/18 Montsi Phila Siyamthanda vs RAF
**Labuschagne vd Walt
RAF**

16. 4029/18 Andiswa Nzele obo L Nzele vs RAF
**Gregory Clark
RAF**

17. 705/19 Mantile Tlulani vs RAF
**Lawrence, Masiza, Vorster
RAF**

Trial date: 18 February 2022

18. 2418/19 Terence Patrick Williams vs RAF
**John B. Scott
RAF**

19. 1788/19 Marelize Saunders vs RAF
**PBK Att.
RAF**

20. 1591/21 Jens Bjerring vs RAF
**Jock Walter Att.
RAF**

21. 2596/18 Samkelo Gift Duma vs RAF **Rayno Peo**
RAF
22. 3208/18 Adv N Barnard obo Lyle Maarman vs RAF **Ketse Nonkwelo**
RAF
23. 1562/20 Charyl Bubb vs RAF **Keyter**
RAF

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;

- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.