

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, GQEBERHA)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
17 February 2022

Trial date: 21 February 2022

- | | | | |
|---|---------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 1713/17 | S Sijaji vs Minister of Police | NN Joyi Att
State Attorneys |
| 2 | 3722/18 | Hester Jacoba De Wit vs NMBM | LH vd Bank
BBV |
| 3 | 1893/18 | NPatricia Mangwane vs RAF | John B. Scott
RAF |
| 4 | 3844/17 | Shirley Plaatjies vs RAF | PBK Att.
RAF |
| 5 | 1252/20 | Bongani Mateta vs RAF | Rayno Peo
RAF |

Trial date: 22 February 2022

6 REMOVED

7 3214/15 Wadile Lukwe vs RAF **J.B. Scott**
RAF

8 849/19 Glenn Kenneth Price vs RAF **Heine Ungerer**
RAF

9 1842/19 B Mavis Skosana vs RAF **Labuschage vd Walt**
RAF

10 1419/21 M C Mabengeza vs RAF **Meyer Inc.**
RAF

Trial date: 23 February 2022

- | | | | |
|----|---------|-------------------------------|---|
| 11 | 3556/19 | M Spire vs Minister of Police | LH vd Bank
State Attorney |
| 12 | 218/20 | Brian Andile Maneli vs RAF | Rayno Peo
RAF |
| 13 | 1497/20 | Merilize Witbooi vs RAF | Gregory Clark & Assoc.
RAF |

Trial date: 24 February 2022

- | | | | |
|----|---------|--|----------------------------------|
| 14 | 2421/14 | Ayanda Braveman Tose vs Algoa Bus
Company (Pty) Ltd + 2 | Morne Struwig
Pagdens |
| 15 | REMOVED | | |
| 16 | 1402/15 | Asemahle Madikane vs RAF | John B. Scott
RAF |

- | | | | |
|----|---------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 17 | 2621/17 | Neil Gerald Betts vs RAF | Morne Struwig Inc.
RAF |
| 18 | 2723/20 | Ndimma Nigel Faku vs RAF | PBK Att.
RAF |
| 19 | 2541/20 | P Jooste N.O. obo K A Condon vs RAF | Gregory Clark & Assoc
RAF |
| 20 | 4075/18 | Tayla Page Koen vs RAF | Goldberg & De Villiers
RAF
POSTPONEMENT |

Trial date: 25 February 2022

- | | | | |
|----|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 21 | 843/21 | Eben Schamrel vs RAF | Jock Walter Att. |
|----|--------|----------------------|-------------------------|

			RAF
22	1936/20	Felicity Helena Hiles vs RAF	Rayno Peo RAF
23	1379/16	Yoliswa Primrose Dladla vs RAF	John B. Scott RAF
24	2202/20	Themobile Ben Matyeka vs RAF	PBK Att. RAF
25	890/21	Tarro Chadyiwa vs RAF	Meyer Inc RAF

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed

pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested

arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.