IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, GQEBERHA) ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP 24 February 2022

Trial date: 28 February 2022

| 1 | 3209/17 | Mbulelo Taiboos vs Minister of Po | lice Simphiwe Jacobs State Attorneys |
|---|---------|------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | 3018/20 | Monica Plaatjies vs RAF | Rayno Peo RAF |
| 3 | 1652/20 | Hannah Williams vs RAF | Heyn Ungerer RAF |
| 4 | 1797/19 | Mandla Nkosi vs Minister of Police | +1 Swarts Attorneys State Attorneys |

| 5 | 2526/20 | Natasha Qulttessa Esau vs RAF | PBK Att. RAF |
|----|---------|---|---|
| 6. | 680/20 | Allistair Bradley Jacobs vs RAF | Boqwana Burns Inc RAF |
| | | Trial date: 1 MARCH 2022 | |
| 7 | 2868/19 | Environmental Technology Hydro Vs NMBM | CC Kaplan Blumberg Att. BNI Attorneys |
| 8 | 2579/20 | Nombulelo Georgina Lungisa vs F | RAF McWilliams & Elliott Inc. RAF |
| 9 | 1563/21 | Edward Erasmus vs RAF | Jock Walter Att. RAF |

| 10 | 2074/20 | Tabisa Collina Poyana vs RAF | Labuschagne vd Walt RAF |
|----|---------|--|--------------------------------|
| 11 | 539/20 | Lamla Madikane vs RAF | Gregory Clark & Ass RAF |
| 12 | 989/19 | David Johannes Kruger vs RAF Trial date: 2 MARCH 2022 | Heine Ungerer RAF |
| | | | |
| 13 | 3555/19 | Anele Mahlahla vs Minister of Pol | ice LH V/D BANK State Attorney |
| 14 | 1304/19 | Bubelwa August vs RAF | Rayno Peo RAF |

| 15 | 3083/20 | Butana Raychales Masetla Sebola | a vs RAF Goldberg & De Villiers RAF REMOVED |
|----|---------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 16 | 1321/20 | Leon Andre Stevens vs RAF | PBK Att. RAF |
| 17 | 368/21 | Aubrey George vs RAF | Gregory Clark & Ass RAF |
| 18 | 2926/19 | Gideon Gerber vs August Evril Oli | via Adams LH van der Bank Goldberg & De Villiers |

Trial date: 3 MARCH 2022

| 19 | 2524/18 | Geraldine Davids vs Minister of Po | blice LH V/D BANK State Attorney |
|----|---------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 20 | 207/14 | Nomatemba O Mpuntshe vs RAF | John B. Scott RAF |
| 21 | 1214/20 | Thenjiwe Mieta Stuurman vs RAF | W. Langson Att. RAF |
| | | Trial date: 4 MARCH 2022 | |
| 22 | 119/20 | Farisani Munyai vs RAF | Rayno Peo Att. RAF |
| 23 | 1470/20 | Edwinene Jacobs obo Ashley Jacovs RAF | obs Labuschagne vd Walt RAF |

24 1037/20 Gloria Antwi vs RAF PBK Att.

25 4317//16 Lulama Peter Betsha vs RAF

John B. Scott RAF

26 152/21 Kent Williams N.O. vs RAF Jaco Hattingh Att

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination:
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

- 2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1
 - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
 - 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
 - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or
 - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.