IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, GQEBERHA) **ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS** Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP 10 March 2022

Trial date: 14 March 2022

1	2169/17	J N Bennet vs MEC, Dept of Heal	th EC M Wakaba Att. State Attorney
2.	219/20	Nozuko Gqodi vs RAF	Rayno Peo Att. RAF
3.	1283/21	Unathi Ntolosi vs RAF	Jock Walter Att RAF
4.	41/21	Anna Salomina Rudman vs RAF	Goldberg & De Villiers

RAF

Trial date: 15 MARCH 2022

5. 3681/14 Iris Maria Douglas vs NMBM Meyer Inc.

			Karsans Inc. REMOVED
6.	3918/14	Adv N Barnard N.O. obo Asemahl Sizani vs RAF	e John B Scott Att RAF

Zinyusile Edward Nyiki vs RAF LHK van der Bank

RAF

Trial date: 16 MARCH 2022

3095/20

7.

8. 2750/18 Colin James Gabriel vs Minister of Police
S Laubsher
State Attorney
REMOVED

9.	145/17	Winozein Groener vs RAF	John B Scott Inc RAF
10.	2374/20	Candice Kivido vs RAF	McWilliams & Elliott Inc RAF
11.	3715/17	Lameez Naziela Makwena vs RAF	Jock Walter Att RAF
		Trial date: 17 MARCH 2022	
12.	32/21	Thabo Williams vs Dept of Correc	tional Services Nolands Law State Attorney
13.	293/20	Christopher Sakhumzi July vs RA	F Rayno Peo Att RAF

14. 373/20 Ronald Lewis Richardo Potgieter vs RAF

McWilliams & Elliott Inc

Trial date: 18 MARCH 2022

15. 2059/20 Mxolisi Ngqoshana vs RAF

Rayno Peo Att

16. 2563/19 Nozuko Feni vs RAF

John B Scott Att RAF

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination:
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

- 2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1
 - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
 - 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
 - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or
 - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.