

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
13 April 2022

Trial date: 18 April 2022

PUBLIC HOLIDAY

Trial date: 19 April 2022

- | | | | |
|----|---------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | 2673/19 | I Siko vs RAF | Heine Ungerer Att
Road Accident Fund |
| 2. | 2696/19 | N Madasi obo S Madasi vs RAF | Lawrence Masiza Vorster
Road Accident Fund |
| 3. | 3288/19 | T Nel vs Minister of Police | Janice Nel Attorneys
State Attorney |

Trial date: 20 April 2022

4. 3386/18 A Smit vs Minister of Defence & Military Veterans
**Hicken Attorneys
State Attorney**

5. 1176/19 NMBM vs E C Fourie N.O. +3
**Goldberg & De Villiers
DVL Attorneys**

6. 1443/19 T B Mzangwa vs RAF
**Heine Ungerer Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

7. 871/20 D Jali vs SD Jali
**In Person
C R Knoesen Attorneys**

Trial Date: 21 April 2022

8. 3022/20 D A Plaatjies vs RAF **Rayno Peo Attorneys
State Attorney**

Trial Date: 22 April 2022

9. 658/16 A Rayneil vs RAF **Rayno Peo Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

10. 3150/18 B P Pretorius vs RAF **Heine Ungerer Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

11. 3321/19 M M Saunders vs RAF **PBK Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the

roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.