

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, GQEBERHA)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
21 March 2022

Trial date: 25 APRIL 2022

- 1 1060/20 S Makai vs Minister of Police + 1
**Magqabi Seth Zita Attorneys
State Attorneys**

2. 1177/19 NMBMM vs Engela Claudia Fouries + 3
**Goldberg & De Villiers
DVL Attorneys**

3. 3246/21 Gcakasi Nomawethu vs RAF
**Meyer Inc
RAF**

4. 1329/20 Luvuyo Goodwell Doyi vs RAF
**W.Langson & Associates
RAF**

Trial date: 26 APRIL 2022

5. 1785/21 Caymore Melissa Pete vs Boxer Superstores Pty
**W Langson & Associates
Swarts Attorney**

6. 3863/18 C Coetzee vs C R Knoesen
**Morne Struwig Inc
JGS**

7. 2872/17 Laurie Bryan Southey vs RAF
**Heine Ungerer
RAF**

8. 1454/19 Ally Renatus Baba vs RAF
**McWilliams & Elliott Inc
RAF**

9. 2543/20 Jason Jimmy Nagel vs RAF
**Meyer Inc
RAF**

Trial date: 27 APRIL 2022
PUBLIC HOLIDAY

Trial date: 28 APRIL 2022

- | | | | |
|-----|---------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 10. | 2700/16 | Andre Ketchem vs Minister of Police | Morne Struwig Inc
State Attorneys |
| 11. | 1380/21 | Somlota vs RAF | Meyer Inc
RAF |
| 12. | 2553/19 | Clinton Nicholson vs RAF | Rayno Peo
RAF |
| 13. | 1035/21 | Jerome Baartman vs RAF | Gregory Clark & Assoc
RAF |

Trial date: 29 APRIL 2022

14. 2203/20 Michael Charles Swart vs RAF
**McWilliams & Elliott Inc
RAF**
15. 1036/20 Zoleka Maki vs RAF
**PBK Attorneys
RAF**
16. 730/2 Siphokazi Sisonke Mpambani obo
Libango Khaya Mpambani vs RAF
**PBK Attorneys
RAF**
17. 2666/17 Siena Aymen vs RAF
**Gregory Clark & Ass
RAF**

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;

1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.