IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH) ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP 4 May 2022

Trial date: 16 May 2022

353/20	L F Arends vs RAF	Heine Ungerer Inc. Road Accident Fund			
1397/21	W Nel +1 vs SCA Concrete CC	John B. Scott Attorneys McWilliams & Elliott Inc.			
Trial date: 17 May 2022					
2481/19	X M Sibewu vs RAF Law i	rence Masiza Vorster Road Accident Fund			
	1397/21	1397/21 W Nel +1 vs SCA Concrete CC Trial date: 17 May 2022			

John B. Scott Attorneys Road Accident Fund

4.

826/20 S P Plaatjies vs RAF

5.	2396/20	N Foloti vs NMBM	Z Matiwane Attorneys BDLS
6.	2909/20	B Venter vs RAF	Raymond Bojanic Inc. State Attorney
7.	3431/19	Trial date: 18 May 2022 L Lupondo vs RAF	Gregory Clark & Assoc Road Accident Fund
8.	118/20	M Makota vs RAF	Rayno Peo Attorneys Road Accident Fund
9.	132/20	Mount Africa Trading CC +2 vs IR	CA CC +1 Greyvensteins Inc. Pagdens

10. 2496/20 X A Vitshima vs Minister of Police +1 **Magqabi Seth Zita Att**State Attorney

Trial date: 19 May 2022

11. 2354/14 M M Mbewu obo S Mbewu vs RAF **John B. Scott Attorneys**Road Accident Fund

12. 3334/14 S Qhushani vs Minister of Police Kuban Chetty Inc. State Attorney

13. 1075/20 R M Plaatjies vs RAF Brown Braude & Vlok

14. 2752/20 S M Lingren vs RAF State Attorney

McWilliams & Elliott Inc.

State Attorney

Trial Date: 20 May 2022

5. 2691/15 D L	aminie vs RAF	Rayno Peo Attorneys Road Accident Fund
6. 2484/19 G (C Arends vs RAF	PBK Attorneys Road Accident Fund
7. 165/20 S V	/ Isaac vs RAF Law	rence Masiza Vorster Road Accident Fund
3. 2054/21 Q (Chingoma vs RAF W. I	Langson & Associates State Attorney

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and

- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3
 - 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
 - 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
 - 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.
- Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –
 - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
 - 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
 - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or
 - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.