

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, PORT ELIZABETH)
ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS
Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP
11 May 2022

Trial date: 23 May 2022

1. 2330/19 H Mahlangu vs RAF **Rayno Peo Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

2. 3114/19 Cathy Industries (Africa) (Pty) Ltd vs M A Da Silva
Goldberg & De Villiers

3. 2427/20 G Darke vs G J Darke **Heuer & Associates
Nicole Oosthuizen Att
REMOVED**

4. 2798/20 L D Lansberg vs RAF **John B. Scott Attorneys
State Attorneys**

5. 1215/21 Z Qaba vs A Qaba **Anthony-Gooden Inc.
Joyzel Obbes Inc.**

Trial date: 24 May 2022

6. ~~601/17 Nordibau Masster Builder & Renovator CC vs Stapelberg
Vervoer CC t/a Milltrans~~ **Lawrence Masiza Vorster
Greyvensteins Inc.
REMOVED**

7. 3437/19 L Meyer vs RAF **PBK Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

8. 869/21 M Juskiewicz vs RAF **Vic Skelton Inc.
State Attorneys**

9. 2547/21 S Ndlazilwana obo O Ndlazilwana vs RAF
Raymond Bojanic Att
Road Accident Fund

Default Judgment

Trial date: 25 May 2022

10. 1407/13 L M Nomnga vs RAF **John B. Scott Att
Road Accident Fund**
11. 1212/17 N M Fidlán vs Minister of Police **Horward Collen Att
State Attorney**
12. 3666/17 W J Van Der Walt vs The Trustees for the Time being of the Rikus Cronje Family **Greyvensteins
C R Knoesen**

Trial date: 26 May 2022

13. 328/20 S B Guarantee Company Ltd vs John Alastair Quanson +1 **Goldberg & De Villiers
Lawrence Masiza Vorster**

14. 2497/20 A G Nkuhle vs Minister of Police +1
**Magqabi Seth Zita Att
State Attorney**

15. 2721/21 E S Perkins vs RAF
**Jock Walter Attorneys
State Attorneys**

Trial Date: 27 May 2022

16. 1538/12 S Ndileli vs RAF
**Jaco Hattingh Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

17. 1309/14 J Vers vs RAF
**John B. Scott Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

18. 554/19 C S Crozette vs RAF
**Rayno Peo Attorneys
Road Accident Fund**

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which

oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and

1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –

1.4.1 the reasons therefor;

1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and

1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.

2. Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –

2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and

2.2 the roll call Judge May-

2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or any other appropriate manner; or

2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.