IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (EASTERN CAPE LOCAL DIVISION, GQEBERHA) ROLL CALL – CIVIL TRIALS Before Honourable Justice Van Zyl, DJP 18 May 2022

Trial date: 30 MAY 2022

1. 1094/21 Brent Keevy N.O + 2 vs Manuela Maria Sahar
 JGS
 Vic Skelton Attorneys

2. 1490/14 Kholiswa Gusha vs RAF **John B. Scott RAF**

3. 1367/20 Rodwyn Ellis vs RAF McWilliams & Elliott Inc RAF

Trial date: 31 MAY 2022

| 4. | 2762/20 | Lorenzo Human vs Minister of Poli | ce P McKenzie Att State Attorneys |
|----|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 5. | 2993/17 | A Rademeyer vs RAF | John B Scott Attorneys RAF |
| 6. | 2676/19 | Themekile Daniel Hermanus vs RA | AF PBK Attorneys RAF |
| 7. | 1880/21 | Zamubuntu Welsh Sishuba vs RAI | Gregory Clark & Assoc RAF |

Trial date: 01 JUNE 2022

| 8. | 2623/20 | Tracy Mercalene vs Lynette Gerald Jacobs +1 | dine Chris Harding Att Legal Aid JGS |
|-----|---------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 9. | 411/21 | Elmerisha Deshane Barnard vs R | AF McWilliams & Elliott Ind RAF |
| 10. | 716/21 | Sharlene Elizabeth Nel vs RAF | Heine Ungerer RAF |

11. 2048/21 Arnold Petrus Avenant N.O. +2 vs Nicolaas Christoffel Koch Greyvensteins

Trial date: 2 JUNE 2022

Pagdens

| 12. | 197/18 | Nonceba Ndlendle vs RAF | John B. Scott RAF |
|-----|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 13. | 2438/20 | Alfred Shaun Salters vs RAF | Rayno Peo Attorneys RAF |
| | | Trial date: 3 JUNE 2022 | |
| 14. | 294/15 | Nomasomi Kamana vs RAF | John B. Scott RAF |
| 15. | 294/15 | Vusumzi Shane Maswana vs RAF | Gregory Clark & Assoc RAF |
| 16. | 1989/20 | Noluthando Yvonne Saki vs RAF | McWilliams & Elliott Inc |

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT there will be no roll call in open court until further notice. The trial readiness of all civil trial matters enrolled for hearing will instead be determined by a Judge in chambers. In order to facilitate the decision of the roll call Judge the parties shall, by not later than 10 days before the allocated trial date, and after having held a telephonic or videoconference pre-trial meeting, electronically file a joint practice note together with the requisite trial readiness checklist (Form 2), and written submissions, not exceeding two typed pages dealing with the trial readiness of the matter. In the practice note the parties shall address the following matters:

- 1.1 whether the matter is capable of settlement and should remain on the trial roll for that purposes;
- 1.2 a clear and concise statement of any outstanding issues for determination;
- 1.3 whether the outstanding issues are capable of determination without the hearing of oral evidence, in which event, if the parties agree that the matter be determined without hearing oral evidence, they shall be required to set out a statement of the agreed facts upon which oral argument is to be addressed by way of videoconference or other electronic means; and
- 1.4 in the event of the matter not being capable of a hearing as envisaged in paragraph 1.3 –

- 1.4.1 the reasons therefor;
- 1.4.2 the total number of witnesses; and
- 1.4.3 the suggested logistics of conducting the trial by way of videoconference, alternatively where a trial by videoconference is not considered feasible or desirable, to state the reasons therefor and to set out the suggested arrangements with due consideration to the prevention of the spread of Covid-19 infection or contamination to litigants, legal practitioners, court staff and the other court attendees.
- Should any party fail or be unwilling to take part in the pre-trial meeting referred to in paragraph 1 –
 - 2.1 the other party may file the practice note together with reasons why the joint practice note could not be filed; and
 - 2.2 the roll call Judge May-
 - 2.2.1 convene a pre-trial meeting by videoconference or may other appropriate manner; or
 - 2.2.2 where appropriate, grant a punitive costs order.