REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

CASE NO: 2024/A36

JUDGMENT	
CORAM: KUNY et MOOSA JJ	
THE STATE	RESPONDENT
and	
SONZULU NOMBULELO ALETTA	APPELLANT
In the matter between:	
Alm	
JUDGE KUNY 08 April 2025	
OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: NO	
IKEL SKIT (BEE. 140	

KUNY J

REPORTABLE: NO

- 1 On Monday, 10 March 2025 the court made the following order:
 - 1 The appeal is upheld.
 - The conviction and sentence imposed on the appellant is set aside and she is acquitted of the charges.
- These are the reasons for the order. The appellant (accused 1) was charged with Jamieson Koos Phiri (accused 2) in the regional court, Vosloorus, with the unlawful

killing of a 5 month infant, Jayden Genyo Motshalefa ("the deceased"), on 20 April 2019 at Vosloorus.

- It is alleged by the State that the appellant pushed Poppy Dludla (the grandmother of the deceased) whilst she was carrying the deceased on her back. As a result, Dludla fell on the ground with the deceased still on her back. It is further alleged that the appellant, acting in common purpose with Phiri, continued to kick the deceased. The State relied on the minimum sentencing provisions in Part 1 alternatively, Part 2 of the Act 105 of 1997. Accused 2 was also charged with having assaulting Poppy Dludla by pushing her.
- This appeal was first heard on Monday, 16 September 2024. The hearing was postponed to ascertain what the position was with regard to accused 2, and whether he intended to appeal his conviction and sentence. It was subsequently reported that accused 2 had passed away on Sunday, 05 November 2023 and a death certificate confirming this was furnished to the court. The matter was then set down on Monday, 10 March 2025 for the appeal to be finalised.
- The appellant was legally represented at her trial. She pleaded not guilty and gave a plea explanation in terms of section 115 of the Criminal Procedure Act, 51 of 1977 ('ÇPA'). The appellant made formal admissions in terms of section 220 of the CPA, admitting the identity of the deceased and that the child died on Saturday, 20 April 2019 as a result of a blunt force head injury she sustained on that date.
- 6 The following witnesses gave evidence:
- 6.1 Poppy Selina Dludla, the grandmother of the deceased ("Ms Dludla").
- Thabo Mphathi, the father of the deceased.
- 6.3 Sthembile Millicent Dlamini, the mother of the deceased.
- 6.4 The appellant.

6.5 Accused 2.

- It was common cause that on Saturday, 20 April 2019 a graduation party was held at the house of the deceased's family. It was raining that day. The deceased's grandmother Ms Dludla requested to speak to the gathering first. She was carrying the deceased on her back using a towel to support the baby. The deceased's head was covered with a blanket because it was raining. As she was about to start speaking the appellant created a disturbance by banging the table loudly. Ms Dludla reprimanded the appellant who continued to hit the table. Ms Dludla stopped her speech.
- The evidence showed that when Ms Dludla walked past the tables on her way to the house to put the deceased to bed, accused 2 pushed her with his hands. Dludla slipped and fell backwards. The surface underneath was a carpet that was on the grass. Ms Dludla testified that she used both hands to break her fall so that the child would not get hurt. Her evidence was that when she fell on the ground the appellant kicked her randomly until the father of the deceased intervened.
- When Ms Dludla stood up the deceased was bleeding from her nose and mouth. The deceased was taken to the clinic and then transferred to hospital. The deceased died that evening of injuries to her brain. This included extensive deep scalp bruising, subgaleal haemorrhage involving the right and left parietal and temporal regions and the right occipital region. There was also reported bilateral subarachnoid haemorrhage with swelling of the brain.
- The evidence established that there was an altercation between the family of the deceased, the appellant and accused 2. The injuries the deceased suffered were consistent with an impact that resulted from the grandmother falling backwards onto the child she was carrying on her back. Although, testimony was led to the effect that she tried to break her fall by extending her arms backwards, the probabilities are that the deceased sustained the fatal injury to her head when the fall occurred. There was no fault on the part of the grandmother. However, the realities are that in those circumstances it would have been difficult, once she fell backwards, to control

her descent onto the ground. The deceased was a young infant and was very vulnerable to any crushing force that would have arisen from the fall.

- It is common cause that the grandmother slipped when she was pushed by accused 2. His culpability is not in issue in this appeal. However, the State's contention that the appellant acted in common purpose with accused 2, in my view, was not proved. There is a reasonable doubt from the evidence that appellant actually struck any blows to the deceased once Ms Dludla had fallen, and the appellant's version of the events could not be safely rejected.
- It was common cause that the appellant was highly intoxicated at the time the incident occurred, so much so that she appeared to be "wasted". The tragic occurrence happened very quickly. It was a crowded space. The opportunity for clear observation was limited. The rain in all probability would have made the carpet slippery underfoot. It was not established in evidence that the appellant knew that the deceased was on the back of the child's grandmother. It is a reasonable possibility that the fatal injuries were sustained by the fall alone. On all the evidence, it cannot be said that the appellant either directly or indirectly intended to bring about the death of the deceased. In the circumstances the appellant was entitled to an acquittal.

S KUNY

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT GAUTENG DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG TUESDAY, 08 APRIL 2025 I agree

CIMOOSA

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT GAUTENG DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG TUESDAY, 08 APRIL 2025

moore C.

For the appellant: Mr J Nel, Legal Aid SA

For the respondent: Adv E Mosekie, Office of the DPP