IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG MONDAY 20TH FEBRUARY 2023

LITIGANTS' ATTENTION IS DRAWN TO THE DIRECTIVE DATED 25 JANUARY 2023 ISSUED BY THE HONOURABLE DEPUTY JUDGE PRESIDENT "POWER SUPPLY TO THE JOHANNESBURG HIGH COURT AND THE RESUMPTION OF PHYSICAL MODE OF HEARINGS AS THE DEFAULT POSITION"

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARINGS OF MATTERS IS IN PHYSICAL COURT

EVEN THOUGH THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARINGS IS PHYSICAL, JUDGES MAY ELECT TO PROCEED VIRTUALLY

LITIGANTS ARE TO CONFIRM THE MANNER IN WHICH THE HEARING WILL BE CONDUCTED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE

IN VIRTUAL HEARINGS, THE LINK WILL BE CREATED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND CIRCULATED TO THE LITIGANTS

OPPOSED MOTION

9D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE OPPERMAN J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MIA J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE CRUTCHFIELD J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KUNY J

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE STEIN AJ

UNOPPOSED MOTION

- GC BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUGDE MAIER-FRAWLEY J
- GD BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAZIBUKO AJ
- GE BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE VAN DER MERWE AJ

URGENT APPLICATIONS

- 9E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WINDELL J
- 9F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE GILBERT AJ

SPECIAL MOTION

Video-link BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DIPPENAAR J (2020/16122)

11F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE SENYATSI J (2021/51959)

INCOME TAX COURT

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MANOIM J

FAMILY COURT

- 11B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE KEIGHTLEY J
- 11C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE SEGAL AJ

FULL COURT APPEALS: CRIMINAL APPEAL

4F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE ISMAIL J AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE YACOOB J AND BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE DOSIO J A18/2020

SETTLEMENT COURT

1A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE R STRYDOM J

SPECIAL TRIAL INTERLOCUTORY COURT

GB BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE J STRIJDOM AJ

JUDGMENT

BEFORE THE HONOURBLE JUDGE MABUSE J 2017/40690 UYS JORDAAN NO obo PHILANDI DAVID v ROAD ACCIDENT FUND

CIVIL TRIAL OF LONG DURATION L

- 8D BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MAKUME J (2016/12387)
- 8A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MUDAU J (2021/26369)
- 8E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOLAHLEHI J (2015/12933)
- 8C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE RANDERA AJ
- 8B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MALUNGANA AJ

ARGUMENT

6F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOORCROFT AJ INTELLISEED (PTY) LTD v JAN ALEXANDER MOKKEN 2018/7863

14H00

GENERAL CIVIL TRIALS

6A BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MABUSE J

- 6C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE WILSON J
- 6F BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE MOORCROFT AJ
- 11E BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE OOSTHUIZEN-SENEKAL J
- 9B BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE JORDAAN AJ
- 9C BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE J KHAN AJ

LITIGANTS ARE REMINDED OF THE DIRECTIVE DATED 25 JANUARY 2023 WHICH SETS OUT THE ARRANGEMENTS REGARDING THE MODE OF HEARING. PLEASE LIAISE WITH THE JUDGE'S SECRETARY TO WHOM THE MATTER IS ALLOCATED

THE DEFAULT MODE OF HEARING OF CIVIL TRIALS IS THROUGH PHYSICAL COURT.

CLOSING DATE TO SUBMIT PRACTICE NOTES: MONDAY, 13 FEBRUARY 2023

NUMBER ON ROLL	CASE NO	PARTIES	ALLOCATION/ORDER GRANTED
	P	ART A: GENERAL CIVIL 1	TRIALS

THEODOR WILHEM OOSTHUIZEN-SENEKAL 2015/43528 1. VAN DEN HEEVER N.O. AJ AND OTHER VS Secretary: Ms L Sithole E-mail: MARIA POULOS N.O. LoSithole@judiciary.org.za AND OTHERS Plaintiff: Adv C Acker Defendant: Adv O Ben-Zeev Nicholas Valasis was one of two heirs (the second heir being his sister Maria Poulos) appointed in the will of their mother, the late Lulu Valasis, who passed away on 17 October 2012; 5.4. On or about 1 April 2014 Nicholas Valasis signed a document titled "RENUNCIATION BENEFITS", addressed to Sixth Defendant, recording that he "renounces all benefits bequeathed to him" in the will of his late and that mother "...understand, having taken legal advice, that [his] said inheritance and the proceeds thereof will devolve upon [his] lawful issue by representation per stirpes, the same being for their benefit.", and then listed the names of his three children, i.e., the third, fourth and fifth defendants. A copy of the forestated notice is attached to the particulars of claim as annexure "J" and is referred to as "the first renunciation of benefits". 5.5. Nicholas Valasis was placed under provisional sequestration on 24 July 2014 and final sequestration on 20 August 2014. 5.6. On 9 September 2014 the sixth defendant informed the first defendant (the executrix of the deceased estate of the late Lulu Valasis) that the first renunciation of benefits cannot be accepted because the renunciation cannot have a condition; that the distribution account cannot be accepted since it was done in terms of the renunciation, and that it falls to be amended accordingly in terms of the late Lulu Valasis's will. A copy of this letter is attached to the

particulars of

annexure "M".

claim

		5.7. The forestated decision of the sixth defendant was not taken on review. 5.8. On 10 December 2014 Nicholas Valasis prepared a second renunciation of benefits ("the second renunciation of benefits ("the second renunciation of benefits"), recording that he "hereby renounce all benefits bequeathed to me under the Last Will and Testament of my late mother, Lulu Valasis." A copy of the second renunciation of benefits is attached to the particulars of claim as annexure "N". 5.9. The third to fifth defendants' right against the deceased estate of the late Lulu Valasis is dependent on whether Nicholas Valasis validly renunciated the bequest made to him in the will. 6. ISSUES IN DISPUTE AND THE PARTIES' CONTENTIONS IN RESPECT THEREOF 6.1. According to the plaintiffs: Neither the first renunciation of benefits was enforceable and of any legal consequence as Nicholas Valasis has prior to the dates of both the first and second renunciation of benefits adiated the benefit bequeathed to him. Accordingly, Nicholas Valasis is an heir in accordance with the provisions of the will of the late Lulu Valasis and the deceased estate must be accordingly administered. 6.2. According to the defendants: The first renunciation of benefits was unconditional and the sixth defendant was wrong in not accepting it as such. Estimated duration: 3 – 4 days	
2.	2021/7884	MICHAEL O'FLAHERTY VS NATASHA O'FLAHERTY Plaintiff: Adv A Saldulker Divorce (contact between parents and minor child) Pre-trial conference: 20 April 2022 Estimated duration: 1 day	J KHAN AJ Secretary: Ms T Ndau E-mail: TNdau@judiciary.org.za

3.	2018/40585	DAWID ADRIAAN VILJOEN AND OTHER VS MINISTER OF POLICE Plaintiff: Adv F Müller Defendant: Adv M Panyane Reckless shooting by police Merits and quantum Estimated duration: 5 days Pre-trial conference: 27 January 2023	MALUNGANA AJ Secretary: Mr L Mabasa E-mail: LMabasa@judiciary.org.za
4.	2018/30123	BAIRD CHRISTOPHER DAVID VS BAIRD MEGAN LEA Plaintiff: Ms K Meikle (Attorney) Defendant: Adv C E Thompson Divorce Matter is not ripe for hearing Parties agreed to remove matter from the roll. Parties disagree on issue of costs – plaintiff contend that costs be reserved; defendant contend that plaintiff pay wasted costs occasioned by removal, including costs of first day of trial Estimated duration: 45 minutes	SUTHERLAND DJP Secretary: Ms S Kajee E-mail: SKajee@judiciary.org.za
5.	2019/12100	GIFT MAPHOSA VS MINISTER OF POLICE AND OTHER Plaintiff: Adv T I Tshifhango Defendant: Mr S Magcakini Merits and quantum Arrest and detention Estimated duration: 2 – 3 days PRACTICE NOTE WAS UPLOADED ON 14 FEBRUARY 2023, A DAY AFTER THE DUE DATE	MATTER STANDS TO BE ALLOCATED. THE ALLOCATION WILL OCCUR AS SOON AS A JUDGE BECOMES AVAILABLE. THE PARTIES WILL BE NOTIFIED PER E-MAIL WHEN THE ALLOCATION OCCURS

6.	2017/18098	ROBERTS PETER WAYNE VS DISCOVERY LIFE LIMITED AND OTHER Plaintiff: Adv J Peter SC Defendant: Adv A Mundell SC Insurance claim – payment of benefits under an insurance policy Whether or not plaintiff became permanently and totally unable to perform as a stock broker, prior to 30 November 2015 Pre-trial conferences: 23 September 2019, 26 May 2020, 06 May 2021, 24 February 2022, 10 February 2023 Estimated duration: 5 days Witnesses from overseas and out of Johannesburg – parties request virtual hearing	WILSON J Secretary: Mr T Chauke E-mail: TiChauke1@judiciary.org.za
7.	2014/39550	MAROPENG JELOUS SERAKWANA VS MINISTER OF POLICE Plaintiff: Adv N Makopo Defendant: S Siyali/N Netshiunda Arrest and detention Merits and quantum Estimated duration: 2 – 3 days	MABUSE J Secretary: Ms V Lee E-mail: VCollins@judiciary.org.za

8.	2016/29204	RENA BUSINESS	MOORCROFT AJ
		SOLUTION (PTY) LTD	Secretary: Ms L Smit
		VS MEC FOR FINANCE	E-mail: LSmit@judiciary.org.za
		GAUTENG	
		PROVINCIAL	
		GOVERMENTS AND	
		MEC FOR HEALTH	
		GAUTENG	
		Plaintiff: Adv Y Ndamase	
		Defendant: Mr M Tsita	
		Claim for contractual	
		damages arising from a	
		breach of contract by the first	
		defendant.	
		The plaintiff alleges that it entered into written contract	
		with the first defendant to	
		provide services to wit, inter	
		alia, supply, installation and	
		maintenance of labour saving	
		devices.	
		The duration of the contract	
		was from December 2011 – November 2014	
		The plaintiff alleges that it	
		complied with its obligations	
		in terms of the contract and	
		the defendant failed to pay	
		the plaintiff for the services	
		rendered.	
		As a result of the defendant's	
		breach, the plaintiff incurred damages	
		Merits and quantum	
		Estimated duration: 1 – 3	
		days	
		Pre-trial conference: 19 May	
		2022	
		Minutes registered: 24 May 2022	
		2022	
9.	2020/30286	MONAHENG SELLO	JORDAAN AJ
	2020,00200	NELSON VS MINISTER	Secretary: Mr S Moloi
		OF POLICE AND	E-mail: SMoloi@judiciary.org.za
		OTHERS	
		Plaintiff: Adv M D Matsetela	
		and Adv F Mahome	
		Defendant: Adv F Magano	
		Arrest, detention, assault	
		Merits and quantum	
		Estimated duration: 3 days	
	P	ART B: ROAD ACCIDENT	FUND
10.	2010/27051	MOKOENA	A note on the electronic file
10.	2018/27851		indicates the trial date as 21
		MASEOTSANA LUC VS	February 2023
		ROAD ACCIDENT	1 331441 y 2020
		FUND	

NA A T	TERE REMOVED FROM THE ROLL BY NOTICE
WAI	TERS REMOVED FROM THE ROLL BY NOTICE
MAT	TERS NOT ON THE ROLL, COURT FILES ARE NOT WITH ROLL
	LING JUDGE
1.	The civil trial roll is submitted to the Office of the Deputy Judge President by the Registrar approximately 9 court days before the trial date and was correct when it reached the Secretary of the Deputy Judge President.
2.	The roll received from the registrar's office is then posted on the website of the Johannesburg Society of Advocates, where litigants may inspect the roll.
3.	The failure of the registrar to include matters on the roll must be taken up with the Registrar. For that purpose, kindly approach the registrar, Ms T. Khumalo (TKhumalo@judiciary.org.za / 010 494 8397) timeously to enquire about the enrolment.
4.	If the registrar approves the enrolment, the matter may be enrolled and dealt with. If the registrar declines the enrolment, the parties may apply for a new trial date in terms of the existing Practice Directives.
5.	Last-minute enquiries will not be accommodated.