OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

(REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA)

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

UNOPPOSED DIVORCE ROLL FOR 23rd MARCH 2022.

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE: MEERSINGH AJ **JUGDES' SECRETARY: Aoliphant@judiciary.org.za**

1.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS MARCO BRIAN RUDOLPH PETER	2021/59379	fcowley@ensafrica.com	O
2.	STANDARD BANK VS VILJOEN, JANESKE	2020/43399	amojee@counsel.co.za	O
3.	NEDBANK LTD VS MOKIRI P M & KEKANA M C D	2021/55587	Monica@drsm.co.za	O
4.	NEDBANK LTD VS MUTANGARA T	2021/55588	Monica@drsm.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ''Other" without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

5.	NEDBANK LTD VS NXUMALO W	2021/55592	Monica@drsm.co.za	O
6.	NQABA GUARANTEE SPV (PTY) & ESKOM FINANCE COMPANY SOC LTD VS SNYMAN; RIAAN ANDRIES	2021/58353	amy.pme@mweb.co.za	O
7.	SB GUARANTEE COMPANY (RF) (PTY) LIMITED VS SABELO MOTHA	2021/25017	amojee@counsel.co.za	D
8.	THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED VS MPHO ALPHEUS MASENYA	2019/30841	amojee@counsel.co.za	O
9.	AH KWANG, INN YOR PEARL VS AMIN, MUHAMMAD & CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY	2021/52226	thea@gebersohn.co.za	EU
10	JONOVO (PTY) LTD VS HENRY. ROGER IAN & CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY	2021/52225	ca4@gebersohn.co.za	O
11.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED V KHAN, IQBAL	2019/27488	charl@charlcilliers.co.za	D
12.	EX-PARTE: CLEM DA MATTA FRIZADO AND SENUSCHKA FRIZADO	2021/53500	gdficq@gdficq.co.za	FS
13.	PATRICK WILLIAM FELTON VS DUDLEY DUNCAN	2020/15448	litigation@vdbinc.co.za	T
14.	CRAIG JORDAN VS TRANS-KALAHARI LOGISTICS SOUTH AFRICA (PTY) LTD	2021/56137	kara@rhkattorneys.co.za	FL

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

15.	JUDITH MOTLHAKO	2021/45732	ramonar@legal-aid.co.za	C
16.	SA TAXI FINANCE SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD VS NEMUTANZHELA THOMPHO STEWARD	2021/33048	efiling@mlbester.com	EP
17.	POTPALE INVESTMENTS (RF) (PTY) LTD VS QWABE, LANGALAKHE	2021/34783	efiling@mlbester.com	EP
18.	NEDBANK M VS Y GOVENDER	2019/43796	luannew@hammondpole.co.za	O
19.	NEDBANK VS MOTSAATHEBE	2021/2209	luannew@hammondpole.co.za	O
20.	NEDBANK VS XABA	2021/18927	luannew@hammondpole.co.za	O
21.	ABSA HOME LOANS GUARANTEE COMPANY VS LARHUBARBE JLJ	2020/6317	nthabiseng@lowndes.co.za	S
22.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS HENLEY A	2021/30265	anjap@hammondpole.co.za	O
23.	ABSA BANK LIMITED VS LEDIMO R & MM	2021/38514	anjap@hammondpole.co.za	O
24.	XOLANI VS REGISTRAR, JHB & OTHERS	2021/42528	DomW@hammondpole.co.za	O
25.	DEPARTMENT OF INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, GAUTENG PROVINCE VS OCCUPIERS OF ERF 1706 RANDHART EXT 2	2021/47574	david@mogaswainc.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.

26.	ABSA BANK VS F MAPHANGA	2020/1817	cmichael@straussdaly.co.za	O
27.	SIMONE CHRISTINE STARCK VS THE CITY OF JOHANNESBURG METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY	2021/52385	dlamini@schindlers.co.za	O
28.	DIAMOND STEEL CASTING VS TUNGSTON TRADING 1 CC	2021/2628	rohann@lawvfc.co.za	D
29.	J.M.V TEXTILES (PTY) LIMITED VS STANDARD CLOTHING MANUFACTURERS CC, AND ONE OTHER	2020/24336	aubrey@lawvfc.co.za	D
30.	ABSA BANK LTD V MASHISHI	2021/18500	kimera@lowndes.co.za	O
31.	VAN DEN HEEVER N.O & ANOTHER VS BARRADAS N.O	2021/57323	ryan@dmo.co.za	PS
32.	SIZZLERS WEST AND P JUTA VS MICROMATICA 195 (PTY) LTD	2019/25580	shahista@nnattorneys.com	O
33.	NGUBENI, LINDA QUENTIN VS MOSHOESHOE, BUSISIWE LYDIA	2019/35589	nkm.boksburg@yahoo.com	EU
34.	FIRSTRAND BANK LIMITED VS SICELO NQABA SHONGWE AND TWO OTHERS (CASE NO: 2021/49761)	2021/49761	lit2@gkinc.co.za	O

Practitioners are advised to have their case types properly classified (refer to paragraph 96 of C/D) in date requests forms & computerized notices of set down. No case type must be classified as ‘’Other” without specifying in line with the Uniform Rules of Court.